Libertarian Communist









Photos by Laurence Sparham and Andrew Wiard (Report) and Angela Phillips (IFL)

ON THE WAGES FRONT Sunry Jim and his allies in the labour movement may have done â good job in restraining the justified anger of the working class. On the other working class. On the other significant trends in the last year or so has been the rise in the number of struggles for union recognition. Workers at Grunwicks, DeSoutters, Trust Houses Forts, Gamers Steak Houses Forts, Gamers Steak Houses forts inject to join a trade union. These struggles are taking place in industries, such as the hotel and catering are taking place in industries, such as the hotel and catering are traditionally low-paid, and where the high proportion of the workforce is female or black, or both. Many of these workers are now saying that workers are now saying that most exploited section of the workforce is female or black, or both. Many of these workers are now saying that most exploited section of the workford plass.

WOMEN RECLAIM THE
NIGHTI Several hundred
NIGHTI Several hundred
Sho
lest November is part of a
nationwide confrontation
with the exploitation of
women as sexual objects.
Taking over the streets at
night in a challenge against
male domininance, they denied
the flear of molestation or
women presented in the media.
Together, women can be
strong!

SCAPECOATS. The working class of Merseyside are being last of Merseyside are being treatment by the ruling class. Workers at Lucas and Speke have been told that they must swell the dole queues so that the industrial glants can set with the industrial glants can set with the industrial glants can set with the inability of the capitalists to find a market for their products, the workers at Lucas Aerospace and Leylands Speke have organised to drawn up plans for the production of goods that are more socially useful.

THE NATIONAL FRONT during the last flurry of elections took over our schools and tried to keep ordinary people out of their "public meetings" with police aid. The reaction to these circuses was authorities were pressured into banning them. We must communities and organizations and in our local entireaction and commitment of the commitment o

July 1978

15p

CARRY ON THE FIGHT BACK!

Problic Sector

NUT MUST TRY HARDER



AT THE moment there is a slight pause in the ideological battle that has been waged in education in the last three years or so. This is similar to the slight but perceptible lull that has occurred in other areas such as the struggles over cuts and wages.

The Tyndale teachers have finally lost their fight to be reinstated by the Inner London Education Authority (ILEA). The Industrial Tribunal where they recently lost was the final body of anneal that they could turn to Harvey Hinds, the senior ILEA official who resigned over the case offered his support to the teachers but even that didn't help.

In the context of progressive methods, their sacking can only be described as a massive defeat for all those teachers who are concerned to stave off the right wing attempts, led by St John Stevas and Rhodes Boyson, to impose restrictions on

what is taught.
That these ideological restrictions such as more 'discipline', the elimination of mixed-ability groups, the narrowing down of choice in the curriculum and so on, go hand in hand to give an ideological veneer for education cuts is indisputable.

Obviously the Tyndale teachers are only a few of the large number of working-class orientated teachers concerned to fight for positive discrimination in favour of working-class kids. There are many lessons to be learnt from the affair, but two main ones stand out.

Vague

First, the term progressive is a catch all phrase Recause of the vagueness of the Left over when education should be going it has become a convenient term of abuse for the right-wing. It represents long-haired lazy revolutionaries, operating in scenes of rioting children, spreading red hate, whilst being out on strike! To protest that this ugly caricature is untrue is not

enough as the Typidale teachers What was needed was a coherer

strategy for working-class education to rebuff the Black Paperites' arguments. It wasn't there. How many teachers are beginning to realise their mistakes and the Socialist Teachers Alliance (STA) has organised the first National Conference, of many hopefully, entitled "The Politics of Education" the 22nd and 23rd April and many socialist educationalists have been invited, and workshops are being arranged. See elsewhere in the paper The second major lesson of

Tyndale is that sectarianism can damage any struggle. The teachers were suspicious of left groups at the start, and this prevented them making use of the resources that a network of socialist teachers could have given them. When, finally, the Defence Campaign was underway, the Socialist Workers Party's hostility to working with the STA undermined attempts to form a rounded socialist rebuttal of the right-wing smears.
The fightback against the Cuts

and in particular the cuts in education has been muted recently This stems partly from the National Union of Teachers' leadership's inability to organise a national fightback, and partly from its political support for the Labour

hand in hand. The practical result is that in the eyes of the NUT only "had" Local Education Authorities make education cuts, not the

Cuts

When a "bad" LEA makes cuts, the executive only grudgingly grants local NUT These continue until either a face saving compo worked out between the NUT

Executive and the ILEA, or until the LEA moderates its cuts so that it is more in line with the cuts imposed

This disastrous attitude is entirely due to the Executive's social democratic view that 'under Thatcher it would be worse'. They have no conception of working with united fight against all cuts in social services. They refuse to let any enlightened members (unless they belong to an enlightened NUT branch) officially organising such action at grass roots level. The irony is that where the NUT Executive does tardily get off its arse and call strike action (as in Oxfordshire) it

The fight for Public Sector Alliances has been conducted locally by teachers i.e. in East London and Tameside. To set them up requires a great sensitivity to the situation in the local labour there is a strong Trades Council, it is wiser ti make demands on this first and if they are rejected, then use that as a spur for a separate alliance.

In places of work such as schools different unions operate e.g. NUT.

NUPE & TGWU, A working ionship between these branci Pay Teachers were awarded a restructuring of their pay in 1974-75 by the Government. The Houghton

Report, whilst crystallising the unfa those gains, and like other groups of workers teachers are beginning to demand that they get back what they've lost. Most active NUT members believe that the 10% delines should be broken. The n. This claim is way below what teachers should be demanding and i allows the NUT to make militant noises and settle for 10% later.

The STA is fighting for a £1000



Teachers Rally Against Racism

Party is fighting for a 'restoration of

This would mean that classroom teachers would get 8-9% and senior and headteachers would get 35%. The STA believes along with the rest of the left that a flat rate claim would unite all teachers. A percentage claim apart from its divisive nature, provides an easy get out for the Executive in that they could get 10% overall and redivide it internally so that some teachers would at the expense of others, get more than 10%

Racialism

The National Front are organising a National Youth Section, So far, its he case that the media have given an inflated impression of its size and organisation, 'Spot a Red Teacher' leaflets have so far appeared in only

At a national level the NUT has confined itself to "fighting" for a multiracial curriculum Ex-Communist Party member Max Morris lad the right win's successful fight not to affiliate the union to the TUC's motion on racialism at last year's NUT Conference. However

have faced crippling cuts in the education budget in the last two

November 1976 - The Labour

administration of Humberside proposed £2 million cuts for 1977-8 with a "promise" of £1% million to follow in 1978-80. December 1976 – We were then threatened with the loss of 287 jobs Although there was some concern about the cuts proposed in

November, teachers were not really aware of the full implications. Many had been fooled by the myth that there was plenty of "fat" which could be trimmed off the education oudget, money being wasted-of course never by themselves!

Indeed there had previously been a closely fought battle within the negotiate over the cuts, which to nany seemed like discussing the relative merits of hanging or shooting

Hull teachers voted against negotiation, but Humberside teacher as a whole; coming from largely rura eachers were shocked by the sudde vere to be lost, and began to wonder ust where their negotiating had got

he three day no cover sanction which means that we would refuse look after an absent teacher's asses after three days).

No cover

We were backed by the National Action" Committee of the NUT. which had been and still is so often the grave of so much militancy. We were all set to go ahead (and indeed the NAS/UWT had already started in selected schools) when (surprise, surprise!), the "inevitable liscovered to be avoidable, and the £2 million cuts were reduced to £1)

LIBERTARIAN COMMUNIST June 1978-3

Public Sector

under vicious attack from the into the naving off of government when their action does not

It is working class people who as users of the services and as workers employed in them Unfortunately public sector workers who attempt to protect either their living standards or the level of services are often subjected to the most hypocritical abuse by the ruling class. The authorities attempt to obscure their general

events have moved faster than Morris hold a mass rally of teachers in and teachers are finding that they are anti-racist committees to counter

intransigence in negotiations by blaming the workers for any

responsibility and their

this threat In London all the left groups have not to-gether to form the 'All ondon Teachers Against Racism

which is money used to buy virtually everything used in schools, from

books to paper towels.

Unfortunately many teachers

were so relieved to have saved the

the public sector. In most cases,

feel the effects of this process, both interests of the working class and of objectives required by the workers how the current crisis is an attack on movement-objectives for the the working class as a whole can particular services for the public provide a basis for the unity and solidarity necessary for successful resistance

Such unity will not be built easily. Nor will it be the result of any issue we hope to cover whats single, simple process. Two elements happening in education, If you have importance. First, the growth of sector, or indeed about any other unified action amongst public sector aspect of the public sector, please workers themselves, and their contact us so that we may consider enience or suffering associated creation of a common strategy for for inclusion in the paper. the public sector as a whole. Second

> March 16th STA members are arquing that after the rally an oing national organisation should

This article is not complete, even as a simple introduction to the

Most teachers believe that the

school meals service, by raising the

afford, and then pretending that more people will be able to claim

prices to a level which few can really

with the need for industrial action in the support of the wider labour movement for this process and its employing class. Resources are being moreover, public sector workers have contribution to the achievement of turned away from the 'Social Wage' difficulty in winning grievances workers plan for the services involve

Alongside the ever-present task of debts and the provision of grants to immediately affect the employers solidarity with workers in struggle w Only realisation of the common processes at work and of the general

sector as a whole. In this issue our Public Sector Alliance pages concentrate on the National Health Service. In our next information about the struggle in thi

issues of democracy in the union, and the struggle for women's rights However I theration Communist door hope to cover these in future

contributions on this subject are of course very welcome for what went on, entitled you to a

their particular needs, and this blanket cut infuriated most teachers. Government is airning to destroy the

Many Local Associations (our equivalent to branches) decided to dinner duties and other out of school activities. This would have meant many schools having to close

However, again, just before the demanding that only 33% of teachers action was to start, Humberside NUT, in any school should receive a "free" along with the headteachers' union Now anyone who has eaten school Procedure, which meant that the whole issue went to Arbitration, and meanwhile everything stayed as it

free meals, often a very humiliating and complex procedure. see the disastrous implications for an Humberside decided to knock education service limping on with another nail in the coffin by ever more inadequate resources. More and more kids now have to share books, and are not allowed to

food in a canteen of between 200 and 500 lively kids knows that it is not money for jam! It had always been understood that eating with the

take them home - original and exciting work is being stifled by lack

But even then the battle was far from over. The next line of attack



Manchester NUT profest against cuts. Photo. John Smith (IFL) the same when in power

HULL SOCIALIST TEACHERS

THE GROUP started in 1971 as a Rank and File group, and built up a good deal of support locally

After a growing disillusionment with the politics of Rank and File. particularly the lack of dem we changed our name in 1975 to Hull Socialist Teachers Group, and were active in the formation of the Socialist Teachers Alliance

About 20 people regularly attended our meetings where we plan future action and tactics although many other people actively support us at Union meetings, and rely on us for initiatives such as proposing motions

There is a fairly broad spectrum of political views within the group Labour Left and Libertarian

We have been very successful at ning motions at Union meetings We have for example passed strong notions on Chile, racialism, cuts, the Working Women's Charter, and salaries for National Conference and have beloed to initiate most of the action which the Union has taken locally for the past few years.

We have also played a very active role in the STA both regionally and nationally. We organized a yeary successful regional ference around the Tyndale issue in 1977 which attracted quite a few teachers who had not previously been involved in the STA

Since so few new teachers are being employed expanding the grou is not easy. We have decided to held advertional issues in the hone of drawing more teachers towards socialist politics and union activity effective force locally and nationally

JOINT ACTION

A HULL Public Sector Action Committee was set up in 1977 on the initiative of members of the Socialist Teachers Group, and with the support of Hull NUT.

We started by approaching NUPF with a view to holding a ninary meeting to gauge SUDDORT After this first meeting we ided to broaden the Joint Union Liaison Committee as it is called to include all the public sector unions, and invitations were sent out for an inaugural meeting.

We were very disappointed at the lack of response from other unions. Representatives from NALGO and COHSE have turned up occasionally but the committee has really hee held together by NUPE and NUT. So although meetings have been useful in comparing experiences and monitoring the cuts we have not have the resources to organise a full scale campaign against the cuts



t was settled!

Progress That was the situation when the

pries swept to power in April 1977, though Hull remained solidly abour. When announcing their nugly said that everyone should be with Labour Government guidelines But of course the Labour

isastrous cuts really were, and poke with fiery eloquence against

So the figure of £2% million is being bandied about, staffing cuts are in store, the Arbitration Tribunal on dinners has proposed that each school be asked to make cuts (and we still lose the 10% capitation!)

Humberside NUT has asked the Action Committee for permission to implement the Union's class size policy (which means that in some schools teachers will refuse to take over-sized classes) and to hold half day strikes in areas which want them.

But somehow it all seems frighteningly familiar . . . Didn't we fight this battle last year too? . . . How soon will it be before we're back to teaching classes of 60 with slates in their hands? Did we once hear

Workers' democracy -no substitutes!

defined by the fact that its members share specific selected characteristics The importance of any particular classification depends upon the observed common characteristics determine the experience of life, or the way of living, of the individuals

You could, for instance, talk This classification would not be very important, however, because having oncerned very little compared with other factors. If, on the other hand, purposes of classification should be "one-leggedness", then each of the surely influenced in their living, and in a common way, by this common

characteristic which fundamentally understanding of the entire position of the working class in capitalism: their way of living, the more do needs of the class become apparentensibly complete the sentence "Red haired people need . . . " in a manner distinguishing the red heads from people with any other colour of hair. But we rapidly conclude that "One-legged people need . . "
assistance in getting about, false legs, wheel chairs, and so forth.

Politics is concerned with the characteristics and needs of individuals as members of society. In this area, the important characteristics are those relating to different roles in the social

and to differences in ability to establishment of social priorities. Fo us the two latter areas flow out of the first which makes this the key area of classification.

Property

In capitalism as a type of social greanisation of labour, the working class is characterised by the broad upon the unequal exchange of the creative power of their humanity for wages. We are propertyless, whilst property stands in relation to us and increases itself through its purchase of us. This basis is like the metal individuals concerned would be more core a sculptor uses to build a model of plaster or clay. It is only by referring to it and by probing into The more a class of people share a we can hope to construct an understanding of the entire position our social and political subordination to the capitalist class, our vulnerability, underdevelopmen

onsumerism and powerlessness.

Although it is true that we have much to learn about the precise operation of capitalism and about the working class there exists an clear to libertarian communists, at call class-collaborationists and say that there are common needs for the working class which can be drawn out of our understanding of its role in the social organisation of labour. There needs we seek to interpret in our political programme, which is a revolutionary programme. They determine our attitude towards the



Let us turn principally towards looking for it At a time when capitalism is

manifesting itself in the form of

declining real incomes, cuts in

unsatisfactory productive

productivity schemes speed ups

encroachments on shop agreement and so forth (on top of its general

arrangement), there is inevitable piecemeal working-class resistance

relations there are signs of conflic In every case, however, where

intransigent employer, the union

workers have encountered an

the latter

movements and situations of the present themselves.

Reformists

The political responses we represent is not however adhered by the majority of workers. In needs arising from the revolutionary

In Britain, this class collaborationism is an important aspect of working-class opinion at every level. A critical point of expression occurs, however, in the behaviour and policies of its foremost propagandists—the leadership of the Labour Party and of the trade unions.

pasis of its ideas. It would be easy for us, as a small

group, to devote our energies to keeping alive a

small body of 'correct' ideas It is necessary to

collective, all isolated from the struggles of the

the other. Our members are active in a number of united front campaigns in, for example, education

women's groups, cuts campaigns, and in Socialist

Contact us if you want to give us your support,

consistently political and demo-

and specific social objectives.

cratic. This means communicating

with the workers movement in the

continually question our ideas, test them in action

wider labour movement will encourage others to struggle and leaders and officials have been instrumental in a failure to secure establish clear precedents for the organisation of struggle. They are victory. This has happened even where—as at Grunwicks—the stakes frightened of unleashing the power have been paltry compared with the of the organised working class because they know in their hearts it grievances the working class as a will go on a collision course with whole could raise. Some disputes have been lost capital, and they believe it irresponsible and destructive for because the union leadership refused doing so. They believe that in the to throw the full weight of the union into the fray. The Desoutters fight long run capitalism can run for the workers benefit: even change itself les union recognition for instance ended upon a basis of "individual into eocialism This political understanding has recognition" (along with the been covertly behind the labour movement's leadership's lack of arrangements for stewards). because the Executive Council of the relationship to workers in struggle and is overtly behind the policies AUEW refused to call upon the which sections of the leadership have unions own members to black Desoutters parts. Again, in Septer openly fought for such as wage

last year, engineering workers in the London press of the Beaverbrook

engineers in the group's Mancheste concern, preferring instead to allow

group of papers had their shop

Gutless

the ERII found no other union

willing to take combined action on

rather than policy, and the 12 month agreements smashed, after the AUEW executive had refused to call out History

them to assist management in a strike-breaking increase of the print that they do not draw inspiration from the fundamental social condition of the workers, that this is why their advocates consequently onnose workers in struggle and accept On other occasions, the crucial attacks on the working class. Class factor has been the question of the mobilisation of the wider labour foundation in, and gained its credibility from, aspects of povement in support of a particular group. This, alongside APEX officials' capitalism rather than from a convincing view of it as a whole. I oncern to samp down militancy on the picket line, has been a major relates partly to the fact that Britisl factor in the Grunwicks dispute. In in certain respects a force for the December the UPW actually went as far as to fine postal workers a total of advancement of its workers' living £1,400 for their action in boycotting standards, and also partly to its early integration with the leaderships of stable working class organisations. It is significant, in fact, that the runwicks' mail. FBU members were Strength" all gas and no clout. Despite other unions having 10%

Our criticism of such politics is

This lack of combativity of the

than a coincidence of personal inadquacies. The class collaborationists

union leaderships amounts to more

have a conscious political desire to stand for "moderation"—that it the

restraining of mass struggles, the allowing, in the final analysis, of

capital to carve its necessary pound of flesh off the working class. They appear like broken fighters because

they are frightened of the steps

frightened of the possibility that a victory won by thorough mobilization of a union or of the

ecessary to secure victory.

reaking claims lined up and the TUC in the British working class coincided with the first period of stabilisation 1850s. This decade was one in

Libertarian Communist What about our activity as a group? An organisation does not stand or fall only on the

Libertarian Communist is the paper of the Libertarian Communist Group. Because of our hortage of both human and financial resources it is necessary to restrict the paper to a bimonthly

We want Libertarian Communist to provide in order to avoid degenerating into a sectarian current like the Workers' Revolutionary Party, the Socialist Party of Great Britain, or the Anarchy we want Libertanian commission to provide information and analysis to militants. We hope to provoke political debate amongst those sympathetic to libertarian ideas within the revolutionary movement in this country, and we hope from this to

ment in this country, and we hope from this to evolve a more precise libertarian communist strategy and advocate that within the working class. This project needs ideas and information. It requires a much wider involvement of libertarian working class.

Action, then is as vital as theory. No revolution ary organisation should be involved in one without ilitants, both at the level of news of struggles and that of discussion and analytical pieces. We believe hat an emphasis on theory is necessary in order to combat the failings of the libertarian movement in this country, but in addition theoretical developme cannot take place in the absence of concrete your views, comments, and criticisms. struggles, Please contact the address below if you wish to be involved. LCG. 27 Clerkenwell Close, London EC1.

OUR AIMS

of the capitalist market economy by a planned socialist economy directed by the workers according to their own needs through united and democratic organs or workers

2) We affirm that in fighting for such a solution no revolutionary organisation should seek to carry out a seizure of power independer organs of the working class.

3) We affirm that we shall never however, we believe in being

fight for the constant involvement in the act of social self-managen of the united and democratic organs of the working class.

4) We place full confidence in and encourage the development of authentic organs of workers democracy as the organisations of working-class unity and of mass self-determination of labour.

context of first, that generalisatio can be made concerning the social to form a government, but will events involving us, and secondly, that we can do something about them. We seek to contribute to the working class's understanding of itself as a class, that is, precisely in terms of accurate generalisation

> 6) We seek to contribute to the development of a tradition in the working-class movement of its understanding itself and its histor firmly in terms of the growth o the potential for the mass self-determination of labour.

LIBERTARIAN COMMUNIST June 1978-5

which new beginnings arose out of the shadow of defeat. On the one hand, the degeneration and failure of the Chartists and of the co-operative workshop movement, together with the duing down of the reverberations indicate a grim fate for the more grandiose working class aspirations fought trade disputes and despite the decade was one in which the general

to show some signs of increased This was particularly the case for the new cohorts of engineers and skilled workers, who, together with the workers in the construction trades, formed the basis for a new working class leadership. It was this adership which both found the confidence of the workers as trade union and political leaders and absorbed to a certain degree the ideas of the bourgeois economists to produce the beginnings of a stable class-collaborationist current in the workers movement. The social evolutionary predilictions of previous working class political novements tended to become eclipsed by a less ambitious species of referm politics and by the

collaboration in this period was the beginning of a dominance—or, at east decisive influence—which has lasted to the present day. In som periods, such as during the pre-World War I syndicalist movement, class allahoration was severely threatened The point is, however, that it has

Developments

British workers, living in a metropolitan country, have repeatedly known material provements in their lifetimes eve if they have also repeatedly known squalor, hardship and war. The first birthpangs of the world revolution underdeveloped countries, and to a leadership, has meant that in terms of political and material achieven it has been judged by many not to match up to the standards of the

capitalist heartlands. the Libertarian Communist half Finally, the emergence of a working class leadership of a class collaborationist nature has itself had a retrograde influence on the development of struggle and hence of class consciousness, a circumstance particularly impressed by your of the labour movement-itself partly eaders as being "responsible" representatives of labout in the uncils of the nation. (It is from this comes the material perks.)

The obvious difference between readership. This is hardly surprising the politics of class collaboration and given the political emphasis that is understand capitalist production as given the degree of political alienation fostered amongst the its subjection to those market force: working class by a bourgeois political and imperatives of capital The problem, of which I am sure accumulation which determine it you are aware, is however, not insurmountable. The working class, even in times of boom thus remain: such that inequality, insecurity, after all are not applitical and it should not prove too difficult to tag exploitation, alienation and oppression are parts of social the frustration and anger which attend life and work in a capitalis organisation which can only be emoved by the abolition of society. May I make a small capitalist productive relations. Of contribution towards this end? course, metropolitan capitalism has I would like to suggest that you newspaper contain more news, that i brought material benefits. But fo how long will it be able to do this in the face of the revolt of those is be less abstract and more enecific For example, every day men and milks dry in the underdeveloped woman are maimed or killed in the

recurrent crises except off the backs report such stories and make the of workers everywhere? appropriate connections with the or otherwise of class collaboration canitalism of course invades every rests with the working class-if not as area of life, not just work, and its a conscious social generalisation. effects should be commented upon

countries? And how will it protect

itself, or recover it from, its

then in terms of reactions to life under capitalism. Our objective here meanwhile is to make clear that the actions of the present labour support for capital raise the issue of

We helieve that the other side of based eleasty on class strungle

Policies

advocate our policies and our alternatives for the fighting of disputes. We stand for wage working class calculation of the redundancies and to unemploy nay in socially useful enterprises) expenditure and for an inflation proof programme of public services etc. We argue for the use of the full strength of the labour movement in proof of workers in dispute and organisations to press for this ureaucrats when they stall and

Finally, we fight for the trade would make them truly combative manications and rob the class hold stimulating the growth in struggle and through debate of the tical personality of the proletariat. Thus, in May 1977, our rganisation proposed the following

sidelines for such a reform of the All union officials to be elected. on a mandateable and recallable basis. All to regularly stand for a election with a time limit for any

2) Full time officers and employees to be paid the average rate and elections held at workplace of their union members: full time officers to be eventually replaced by

8) Assertion of the right to hold

3) An end to all official perks—o r extension to all members!

4) No bans or proscriptions to be All trade union bodies to be free

to discuss any issue whether or not rades Councils. (It states that

onomous in the allocation o and campaigns. No compulsory

the members who elected them pointed. All decisions to be taken

organisation except those which are atciet or openly anti-trade union

6) Shop stewards to receive automatic accreditation from their

meetings open to all the relevant

collective leadership elected under

etings in paid work time All democratically called striker

to be immediately made official and 10) Full union rights for the their actual or notential type of

There should be no fragmentation of our assault on class collaboration organisational proposals are most important insofar as they would one in the unions to the working out of crutiny, and involve the membership fully in this process. The class such as the present one of the be broken-but the need for the fight for alternative policies would still be essential.

(Socialist Worker Bin Flame) have

this effect. Apart from the obvious

fact that Hitler is not renowned for

say to those comrades that fascism is

oblem. Wheras I support a no

platform position and support the

published Hitler's own observation to

Editorial Board

Racism

Boring

In the past I have found left-wing

However, being an optimist I read

disappointed Despite a coherent

supplement on Russia I was not

wspaper, In order to be as

constructive as possible I have set

down a few thoughts which seem

I rather suspect that all left, wing

ssary in such newspapers and

newspapers have a low working-class

pursuit of profit. A left-wing

newspaper should in my oninion

omic system. The spirit of

Sad to say I was somewhat

expecting to be pleasantly surprised

front page article and an interesting

Congratulations on the appearance o your new paper. There has been a overcoming the racial division need for a paper like this for a long time, a paper which brings a deeper working class over to a position anding to issues facing militants in the struggle and which develops libertarian ideas. The articles on the health service were a Nazi everytime Martin Webste good example of the former and the discussion on Russia 1917 a good and determined work in the example of the latter. I was community and in the unions to pointed by the poor coverage of expose racism in whatever form and to win workers round to an anti-racist some very important issues, such as womens and gays oppression. On the The main obstacle to anti-racist subject of racism, I would like to use

some of your space to point out a serious danger for socialists. That is the danger of making the main racist campaign an anti-Nazi one

and the appropriate analyses made.

a greater commitment to actual

example, that six out of a total of

eleven photographs, supplement

apart, were of demonstrations.

yours faithfully M.Warc

reporting, (Did you realise, fo

Socialists know that racism is emerged, called the Anti-Naz deeply rooted in the working class This is expressed in the accentance of immigration controls and the lack of support for ethnic groups fighting rey cannot see this danger.

The problem is that anti-Nazi harasement. The tasks of socialists i anda does not raise the within the working class is to win the idarity with ethnic mine

against racism and for support for ous ethnic groups fighting Clearly this means more than crying moves. It means patient, persistent hrown out of the window The only thing that differ

mistaken belief amongst some destroy fascism. Some papers

work has been the lack of national anti-racist committees, Recently ever, a national group has League. This falls into the danger I have mentioned Although many onle who helped with its creat would agree with what I said earlier

litical question of working class against capitalist interests. All it can do, and in fact does, is ally the working class elements with elements of the ruling class. Class politics is

rest is their militancy. There is a socialists that you can physicall

this because it is a demand for because fascism can be kicked to death in the high street. The National Front and other fascist parties have grown because they provide a political alternative to the main bourgeois parties. The main he a fight for a socialist alternative

John Barlowe.

are doing at this moment, and what This emphasis would seem to call for At the moment we are producin ne paper only every two months. This means that we feel we cannot have too many agitational articles too much coverage of struggles, for when we do the paper dates very

Reporting specific cases of injustices etc, that is, I feel sure that because of the relevance to working-class experience such reporting will increase your readership in this are: One last thought, in view of my libertarian tredition in Britain has mment regarding working-class been irrelevant recently partly at adership, do you consider the nam of your newspaper-Libertarian to the need to justify its actions at a munist-to be appropriate?

will cover struggles and come out

The comrades letter is very welco. In terms of our readership I questions about the paper Essentially, what kind of paper are we trying to produce and for what doing at the moment is putting the answers to these questions have a fairly limited readership, mainly to come in two parts, because there those already committed to

uch a difference between what we revolutionary politics. It is true that

radicalised when they were students obscures our committment to a the working class.

On one point our reader is wrong class are not apolitical. I think our

On the other hand I think that the in general has been very bad at

As for the name it is a bit of a name is at least fairly informative

people who produce this paper are undoubted e of the most super-exploited sections of the orking-class. They spend long hours writing, layingand selling the bloody thing, and don't even get d a penny for it! We don't mind that so much after all we are all

s every issue. The cost of typesetting and the long-term we hope to get round our pro

bundles of the paper to sell. Also, you could

Above all, if you support the paper and what we're trying to do, give us some money. Of course, it would be a good idea to take out a subscription (see the box on the back page) but cash would be

bag over the next couple of months, send in plenty

All copy, donations etc. to L.C.G., 27 Clerkenwe Cheques should be made payable to LCG General

SOUTH AFRICA

The most important political developments in 1978 are taking place in Africa. With the rapid intensification of armed struggle in Zimbabwe and South Africa, and the possibility of majority rule in Zimbabwe before the year ends, the Week of Action against apartheid and other moves to build workers action against the white dictatorships in Africa have a special significance.

LIBERTARIAN COMMUNIST surveys the development of apartheid in South Africa.

PRODUCTION in South Africa today has many faatures which characterise it as capitalist. Companies, which are often part of giant Western capitalist enterprises, or are closely linked with them, employ waged labour and judge their success by the rate of return they make on their

Work and the human soul are under

thrall to capital.

Capitalist development in the area however, has always been given a particular character by its links with colonial domination. Whilst not every white is a member of the capitalist ruling class, no blacks whatever their economic status, are the social equal of whites, or ever exercise authority over them

In recent years there has been the development of a number of black netty capitalists and administrative staff in so rectors but the operations of these individuals merely relates to particular black hierarchies, which do not include whites and which are subsidiary to the white-dominated commanding heights of

the political economy.

The appearance of such individuals does not moreover alter the assessment that the impact of colonial capitalism in South Africa has been predominantly felt by the African population in terms of its turning them into a source of labour bound by debilitating restrictions unknown to the developed prolotorist of the metropoliten countries of the West, or to the white working clare of South Africa itself

The beginnings of this latter elopment lie firmly in the act of European settlemtn itself and its devastation of the existing African social formations through the means of expansion and military conflict

Although the initial colonial authorities, the Dutch East India Company and later in 1795, showed signs of attempting to restrain the expansion which they thought would cost more administrative trouble than it was worth, the colonial farmers gave the colony a forward momentum

formers was based on expropriating the native population's land. The Xhosa replied with resistance A series of wars between the colonists and the Africans resulted, in which the military technology and isation of the Europeans generally proved superior.

After the war of 1834-5 the British

administration refused to make a formal expropriation of territory and a number of farmers of Dutch descent struck out on their own to the NorthWest to set themselves up independently of the authorities. This was the beginning of the large-scale takeovers of land in what were to become the Boer republics, and in Natal, which the British occupied in 1871 as a consequence of the discovery of diamonds

Enthusiasts of the supposed tradition of British liberalism in South Africa point out that in this period of invasion there were

British administration and the Boers. The Boers from the start did not allow any African claims to land to be valued above their own. The British on the other hand did legislate for African titles. In relaity Few Africans were in a position to take up a British title, let alone understand the

eed for it we secure it from a partisan British officialdom All over South Africa the result of European settlement was to puch the Africans off the land they needed to sustain their established patterns of production. Before the invasion they had made leisurely and sweeping use of the land, employing techniques of wide grazing and shifting cultivation. Now they were hemmed in on poor ground which they didn't have the techniques to use to its full purpose, scant as it was. Military loss of land and cattle established a tinuing cycle of impoverishment of the

African nonulation The colonists did not immediately connect the impoverishment of the Africans with a strategy for forcing them into waged employment. Until 1807 and he beginnings of restrictions on the sla trade, those colonists who wanted to us majority of whom seem to have come from outside South Africa. After emancipation descendants of the slaves formed the basis of a labour pool.

It was mainly the development of export orientated wool farming in the first half of the nineteenth century which opened the eyes of the colonists to the possibility of the regular employment of African labour. By the 1870s this was being reflected in official policy. In the build up to the war with the Zulu kingdom in 1879 for instance, the prospect was expressed by Governor Chepstone that Zulu warriors would "be changed to labourers working

It was in this period that taxes were ntroduced to force Africans to work for money. Cecil Rhodes delivered a 'classic' to the Cana Logislative Assembly on the employment of Africans. "You will", he anthused. "romove them from that life of sloth and laziness, you will teach them the dignity of Jahour and make them contribute to the prosperity of the state and make them give some return to us for

our wise and good government."

The turn to the use of Africans as labourers was given a particularly important impulse and direction by the development of the South African mining industry. It was this which first led to the introduction of metropolitan capital into

Diamonds

Diamonds were the first mineral ource to be evoluted in the 1860s amond mining methods did not require large capital injections from the ropolitan stock exchanges. Although Bhodes did resort to a London syndicate for funds in his takeover of the Kimberley mines, the diamond magnates who emerged in general owed their prominence to enterprise in the field and the exploitation of market outlets rather than to "foreign" hacking.

Diamond minings contribution to the sucking in of metropolitan capital was that it created an on the spot entrepreneurial structure and links with the European arkets which gave the discovery of the Witwatersrand gold reefs in the 1880s immediate commercial viability. It was from the offices of the diamond merchants n Hatton Garden and Holborn Viaduct that the first gold shares were sold to raise the money needed by the more cenital intensive gold industry. A.K. Cairncross in is "Home and Foreign Investment (1953) cites figures showing that Britain had £34 million invested in South Africa in 1884 and that this had jumped to £351m by 1911. Much of this increase went to the gold fields.

The mining brought new sophistication to the colonialists employment of Africans. n 1889 the mine owners combined in a "Chamber of Mines" which despite internal difficulties made moves to establish a common maximum wage for Africans. In 1896 this body established a "Native Labour Supply Association" in order to co-ordinate recruitment. At the same time the mines saw the development for the first time of a significant white waged labour force, generally classed as

skilled, and on much higher wage Exploitation of gold brought with it urther upheaval in the political map of South Africa. Conflict between the Boer farmers and the gold interest broke out into the "Boer War" and its attempted olution in the 1910 Act of Union. The saving common interest of the

nps represented in these struggles, and of the white working class, was the ation of their common and increasing enefit from subjugation of the Africans From the unification till after the great white miners strike of 1922 (in which the red flag was raised and workers militias were formed in defence of white differentials) we can see the consolidation of this white supremacism and its apparatus which remained, of course, exclusively white.

The "Mines and Works Act" of 1911 denied skilled jobs in the mines to Africans. The "Native Labour Regulations Act" of the same year imposed stringent legal controls which made breaches of work discipline criminal. The 1913 "Land Act" froze the proportion of land available for use by Africans and made it illegal for an African to buy or rent land from a white. 1924 saw the first "Industrial Conciliation Act" which gave whites full

labour rights, but not Africans. lonment up to this point had as it were, laid the basis for contemporary apartheid. The rural self-sufficiency and organisation of the Africans had been stroyed and they had been made into a large low-paid waged labour force. Contemporary apartheid, however, is nore than just a forward projection from he situation as it was 50 years ago. It

World support

THE International Confederation of Free Trade Unions called for a week of action from March 13-21 against apartheid in South Africa. British opponents of apartheid have attempted to make our contribution to this a weel of blacking of all trade and communications with South Africa.

An emergency action Anti-Apartheid Movement conference on 14th Feb showed that the strength of this contribution was still very much in doubt. Delegates to the conference heard Laurence Daly pledge the full support of the NUM. There was also a letter from that influential man, Jack Jones, Companion of Honour, wishing the campaign success Jack Dromey revealed that the South East region of the TUC was urging all its Trades Council to take up the issue and Peter Nicholas threw the weight of the Leyland Trades Union Committee into the fray.

What such pronouncements will lead to in practice remains to be seen-there is a world of difference between supporting a policy and fighting for it. Workers who have seen what TUC support has meant at Grunwicks, or what TUC opposition to the 10% rule meant for the Fire Brigades Union, will need more than a few positive statements from a handfu of union leaders at a small gathering in London before they take action.

Indeed, as a delegate from Preston Trades Council pointed out, blacking is a big thing to ask for, especially if you are asking for support from someone whose job is based on trade with South Africa.

Those Trade Union bodies and leaders who have supported the week of action are faced with the responsibility of becoming active campaigners agains apartheid, seeking to argue the case throughout the whole working class. They should try to unify the trade union movement on the issue, and guarantee solidarity action with any workers who are victimised for blacking South African trade.

The highlight of the campaign so far has been the support of the Rover Solihull Shop Stewards Committee. They have produced an excellent broadsheet on Leylands, Rovers and apartheid for their membership and for other trades unionists as part of a real attempt to produce actual blacking

Such developments are to be welcomes, as they assist the development in the trade union movement of active solidarity with the African struggle.

Our ultimate objective here is the permanent national blacking of all trade with South Africa until the African people have won the right to selfdetermination, though this strategy does not cover the problem of firms investing in South Africa but having no productive links with the country.

Where workers are not in a position to implement blacking a secondary line of action is solidarity action secure the recognition of independent trade union in British related firms

'Workers Unity' again draws out the

separated on a 'tribal' basis, not only in

the Bantustans, but also in the industrial

quarters already applies to the harracks

areas thempsilves. Segregation of living

Workers will be forcibly

represents a response to two developments and the growth of capitalist industry outside the mining sector

Complementary to the development of the mining industry in particular was the causal and migratory nature of much of the African workforce. The idea that the Africans should be allowed to enter "white" territory only if they were working there was an old one stretching back to the issuing of frontier passes in th early nineteenth century cape colony. The like the proletariat elsewhere, has a exploitation. The proletariat possesse mon needs, a common settlement, the ability to communicate now that the old tribal barriers have been broken down, and shove all a new capacity for organisation. It is in order to meet thi challenge that modern apartheid has ped in the way it has

The three main aspects of anarthaid's onse to this challenge are its labour legislation its "Bantustan" policy and the to Africans and whites Section 17 of the dustrial Conciliation Act allows for the banning of racial groups from jobs. Government Notice no. 1656 of Oct 25th 1957, for instance, reserved for whites the work of machinists, supervisors, cutters and

It must be admitted, though, that much of job recognition in South Africandustry has come about as a result of agreements between employers and the

table hands in the clothing industry. and compounds for migrant workers . . . We will probably also find in times to come come that the jobs in each factory will be allocated to workers from one particular Bantustan only. When workers in a factory prove "troublesome" the vernment will threaten to allocate jobs in the factory to another Bantustan. Rivalry between different groups of African workers will thus be encouraged. As the Africans are forced to become citizens of Bantustans, the present meagre rights of sattlement will be abolished. The pass laws will be abolished and laws overning "aliens" introduced Already frican political and industrial militants have been deported to the Bantustane The executive machinery of apartheid is, of course, white political monopoly and capitalism has throughout its history relied upon armed force as the ultimate agency of its development. The response of the uthorities to the protests initiated by the students of Soweto 2 years ago and the rast number of black political prisoners are the most prominent modern examples

> In the last three wears trade unionists have died in detention. November 1976 saw the hanning of 24 leading trade organisations black and white. The terms of such banning orders, which last in mos cases for 5 years prohibit publication of ned person's views, visits by more than one person freedom of movement etc. In short a cheap alternative to

In conclusion it is worth dwelling a moment on the conditions of the Africans reated by all the above. Last ditch applogists for apartheid claim that if nothing else the Africans in South Africa are better off than the Africans in the rest of Africa. Of course, even if this were the essential question of the division of social ources and authority.

Much of the evidence in fact contradict even such a ridiculous justification for the near slavery of the African nopulation amongst vast riches. In terms of per capita incomes by dollar comparison. First Steels and Gurney, in "The South African Connection" (p53), provide figure indicating higher incomes, in 1968, in several other African states. According to Rogers in his book "Divide and Rule" the average income in the four largest Bantustans in 1974 was lower than in most places in the continent except for those like the drought-stricken Sahel region,

reduced to universally recognised distress. It is true that per capita incomes figures are a poor way of gauging living standards, but consideration of the thingthey leave out reflects even more badly or South Africa. You can't compare the incomes of wholly urbanised with those who still have the use of some agricultural land, let alone the per capita incomes of those in a highly industrialised state like South Africa and those in other countrie who are, perhaps, almost entirely agriculturally self-sufficient. The low incomes in the Bantustans might not indicate poverty if, for instance, they were agriculturally flourishing, but it is their noted bareness which makes it so appalling a figure, and also explains the high

The standard of life is also determined by many aspects of public expenditure and development. The conditions of housing, roads, hospitals and education are all vitally important in people's lives, and are all very poor in South Africa, if you're black. Africans in South Africa have little isure time, little entertainment and so on.

incidence of under-nourishment diseases in

No one, I should hope, could read about the conditions of African life in South Africa and wonder why the African people are in struggle.



Soweto schoolkids, August 1976. The riots were followed by a harsh repress

then worked for a given period and then cleared off again.

As with the actual expropriation of

land, it would require monumenta scholarship to uncover precisely the breakdown of this "reserve" based migrancy into settlement either on "white rural territory or into urban areas. Increasing urbanisation of Africans is. however, one fact clearly reflected by government consus statistics. Whereas in 1911 572,000 Africans (12.7% of the African nonulation) were recorded as town dwellers, by 1936 the number had risen to 1,246,000 (18.9%) and by 1951 to 2 290 000 (26.8%)

The growth of capitalist industry outside of the mining sector was the single most important factor behind this progressive urbanisation. South African intervention of metropolitan capital or the mining interests linked with it, though these did come in with a bang later. Apart from a few metropolitan interests such as ICI Dunion Siemens Ford General Motors and Levers, the first new industrialists came from within South Africa itself but not from the mining magnates. Afrikaaner Nationalists began to organise the use of savings for commerc and industry. When in power the Nationalist Party pioneered the use of state money to set up new industry. I the Iron and Steel Corporation in 1928, and to assist new native entrepreneurs

The Boom

These efforts prepared the ground for a post-war boom at the instigation of the metropolitan capitalist corporations. It has been estimated that between 1946 and 1955 £700 million was invested in South Africa from abroad £500 million of this coming from Britain. Between 1956, when official figures first became available, and 1969, a further £1,000 million was invested. The nattern continues today with Britain still leading the field.

The African proletariat created by this second great colonial capitalist expansion

Apartheid's labour legislation is based upon distinctions between white (and coloured) workers and blacks, and has been elaborated by successive Industrial Conciliation Acts. These have establishe norms of labour organisation and

representation for all employee The catch is that each act attempted to find a progressively more exact formula fo eveluding Africans from the category of employees". In the 1956 version the legislators described an employee as any person other than a "Native", and a 'Native" as any person belonging to o generally accepted as, a member of any

African workers are still subject to the

work discipline enforced by criminal

entirely of workers representatives. The

point is that these committees don't give

the workers any rights to take industrial

action to back up their penotiations and

they don't allow for any genuine trade

applies the principle of discrimination in

terms of the respective availability of jobs

Some South African labour law actively

riginal race or tribe of Africa

penalties of the 1856 Cape "Masters and Servants" Act and the 1911 "Native abour Regulation" Act. According to the 1953 "Native Labour (Settlement of Disputes)" Act the interests of African workers are supposed to be represented to their employers by white governme officials. Only since 1971 has it been technically possible for them to hold a well: "A Bantustan is like a paddock legal strike, but this right is so heavily sircumscribed that it is practically orkers are forced to wait in the meaningless. The only legal African workers strike to have taken place to date was smashed by the police and employer

of African workers, the regime has now nerally look after their cattle well. attempted to force on them a system of The Bantustans are intended to them a semblance of representation in their relations with their employers. These are the Liaison Committees 50-50 new low-wage industrial sites.
A government Department of worker/employer bodies with a company chair, and the Works Committee com

ormation namphlet of 1968 "Taking Factories to the People", if read the right way, indicates ruling class thinking with some clarity. Talking about the process of modern industrialisation, the author comments "It divorced the Bantu worker from his own country and his own society . . . and tended to turn him from a proud member of a Bantu nation into a cypher in an urban proletariat.

Despite the above legislation and practices, African workers have continued to organise in independent unions and engaged in industrial action. They have also continued to struggle for political

and social rights generally

Bantustans The South African government and ruling class have attempted to smash these elonments at a deener level than that y the labour legislation by introducing "Bantustan" policy

The aim of this is to make every African a citizen not of South Africa but of one of several tribal "homelands" These places in no way have any historical link with the populations ascribed to them, they lack the minerals and the good ricultural factors of the land retained in white hands and make up about 1/8 of the surface area of the country (for % of

The January issue of 'Workers Unity' paper of the South African Congress of Trade Unions, describes these lands very where the farmer keeps his oxen until he needs them for ploughing. The African Bantustans, like the oxen of the hosses until they are chosen for work in the cities." The difference is that the farmer

physically divide up the African workers, to facilitate greater control over them in the existing industrial areas, and to create

They have many, great grievances.





Libertarian Communist

Anti-racist fight must be won!

Cines last summer race has never been far from the news. Recently the Tory and Labour parties have been hitting the headlines on the

to pander to the gut prejudices that do undoubtedly exist in this country. They hint at pass laws, they hint at an end to all immigration on the scale that it has been known in the

For the time being they can't come out too strongly because there is still a 'liberal' wing to the Tory narty that finds such overt racialism sticks in its throat. Certainly though, Maggie Thatcher has done enough to gain quite a few votes from the National Front at the

Ther's what its all about really the next election. It looks from here that an election in the Autumn is now a very strong possibility. Labour's response to this has

been really feeble. They want to keep the traditional support of the immigrant communities but they notes to be won by playing the racialist card. They've allowed the Tories to draw them into playing the numbers game. What this involves is accepting the

fighting." That is how one hospital

worker in "Keeping hospitals open

has been written by staff from

Plaistow, Hounslow and EGA

sums up the fight to save the health service "Keeping hospitals open"

hospitals. They aim to pass on their

experience of working-in. Although

and by that they mean black

we're fighting!

too many coming in' and that we are being 'swamped', Merlyn Rees and the other I shour ministers say that there are fewer and fewer coloured mmigrants coming in (since our racist immigration controls work so well anyway) and that there are more

The trouble with these ideas is that the Labour party is in fact conceding that there is somehting their needs. They are conceding that blacks are a 'problem'.

Fortunately, the struggle on the ground, at the grass roots, must be said to be going in favour of the anti-racists. There are now thriving anti-racist and anti-fascist committees all over the country. In London, the area I know best, there have been some considerable gains.

been the successful anti-racist demonstration through the British Movement's stamping ground of Hoxton, last Autumn, By the time you read this the East End anti-racist Carnival will have taken place, and

looke set to be a great success prevented from establishing a presence on the streets in Croydon

A namphlet this has been needed

for a long time. Everything you

need to know about work-ins is covered, from answering peoples

early uncertainties and getting

started to running the occupation

and getting support. Of particular

value are the sections on keeping the

hospital operating, involving all the



A PETULANT MARTIN WEBSTER, decidedly put out by the jeers of local kids, arrives at Longbridge School, Brixton, for a National Front election meeting. Many people had spent the moerning leaftering houses in the constituency, warring residents of the potential threat from the Front, and attempting to give support and encouragement to black people in the area. Photo Andrew Ward (Report).

and thou don't ream to have had establishing a regular paper-sale recently.

Nationally, the rise of the Anti-Nazi League has been impor This initiative backed by the Socialist Workers Party, and with people leaving the country every year the endorsement of several MPs and many prominent sports and media personalities, has undoubtedly reached and organised wide layers of

> Unfortunately, the Anti-Nazi Leauge has no clear political alternative to put forward. There's nothing particularly wrong with its approach, it just doesn't go far enough However many socialist will be getting involved in the Anti-Navi Leanue and are sure to raise more 'political' demands against immigration controls, for a socialist alternative to the ideas put

opposition to the National Front and

other Nazi organisations.

Indeed, the elections that are or the horizon may have caused the capitalist press and the bourgeois parties to reveal their racist opin but they may turn out to be occasions on which the anti-rac

Also, there is a valuable outline

of planning procedures and how to

use them to get information and a

This is a very well produced

namphlet and I found it really easy

to follow. But what really gives it its

forward by the racists.

which the Libertarian Communist involved in and also the separate by the Socialist Workers Party, will both produce vigorous, socialist,

anti-racist propaganda important only in terms of the votes candidates or Durruti preserve us for the possibility of gaining representatives in Parliament, and instead as occasions where we can nut forward socialist propaganda and campaigns, then we can use them to our advantage.

In the coming period in council elections in parliamentary by elections and in the general election itself, race will be an issue Revolutionaries should take up

that ittue. We should fight racism and faccism in the streets and in our

We should fight for -ocialist

strength is that its told by people

who took part in the work-ins

themselves. The pamphlet points out that a work-in is only a defensive tactic in the long term struggle inside the health service

This tactic should be borne in ind by all public sector worker Make sure YOUR union branch or

of this pamphlet. At 10p (cost price)

workplace is prepared for it. You could not do better than get hold

its worth nutting in a bulk order.

solutions to the problems on which racism divides the working class, in housing, education, employment, The fight against racism and ascism is a fight that rev can and must win

recentry visited Toronto to help out local moral crusades.

The parallels with the prosecution of Gay News comes as no surprise to gays in this country. Gay oppression, like its counterpart capitalism, exists worldwide. And that includes the state-capitalist countries like Cube, where Castro has said

BATTERING BACK

How to Get an Injunctio National Women's Aid Federation

are no longer exploited, no longer treated as inferiors and as posses of men-until society is changed-women will always be battered. The Domestic Violence Act (DVA), introduced last year, was fought fo by NWAF and intended to limit the extent of this violence. But needless to say, the belief that men have the right to beat their partners is so strong (not to mention the usual sexist prejudices women suffer from under the law) that the Act isn't used to provide the protection it should (never mind the Davies case!) The function of this small booklet is explained in the title: it shows women how to get an injunction with or without a solicitor. It is invaluable in explaining what goes on in the legal world and exactly what a woman's rights are. Essential when there are lawyers around who would give her false information rather than take her case as she wishes (money

being the main, though not the only So it's hoped that the booklet will

avidence might help to explode a few myths-and show that battering is ooth a common and acceptable form of violence in our society (and from this, that it's inextricably linked with the structure of our society), that all women have the right not to be subjected to this violence, and are in fact claiming that right. And the more women become acquainted with how the law works, the greater will be the recognition of how it

works against us . . The booklet is short, clear, totally free from jargon, and simple. It goes sten by sten through what to do in whatever situation might arise, emergencies as well as 'normal procedure. Its clarity is helped by the format: short paragraphs linked by a colour-code to tie up the various stages and sequence

The whole thing is illustrated and contains some useful appendices, such as how to write an affidavit how a court order is written, and even a legal dictionary. Hopefully the booklet will become standard equipment for law centres, women's centres, citizens' advice

bureaux etc in the near future. Price 30p, available from Left and women's bookshops, or from NWAF, encourage women to use the DVA as 51 Chalcot Rd., London NW1. Tel: 01.586 0104

DUCK BANNED

left-overs ALL THE NEWS THAT DIDN'T BIT

Libertarian Communist is glad to Libertarian Communst is glad to announce that this years award for absurr morality goes to the Heisinki municipal authorities. They recently stopped funds for the City Youth Club, which were meant for Donald Duck carbons. The reason given is that Donald Duck is immoral — be dosen't wear trousers and has not married Daisey despite many year of courting.

EXECUTION DUTIES

South African police get their precise for erond control in the normal course of units. Police Minister Kruger rold the South African parliament that aper from those that in "Diske township unrest", police shot deed 149 people. In the police with deed 149 people. In the south of the proper in the properties in the pro

BODY POLITIC 'OBSCENE' The publishers and staff of The Body

Politic (a North American gay liberation news magazine) have been charged by the public prosecutor for obscenity. The charges relate to an article describing the personal relationships between men and youth under Canada's legal age of consent,

under Canada's legal age of consent, blished last December. Despite the fact that the deviates was police raised the papers Toronto office, proceedings of the papers Toronto office, including subscribers names and address; by this act the police have shown that victimize the subscribers. By viconidentelloss, and the proceedings of the

NUCLEAR CLASH

cocessful occupation of the proposed aclear reactor site at Torness in Scotland in May 7th, are the first signs of the lowth of a strong anti-nuclear movement

this country.
The LCGs London group distributed a in the country.

In the country.

In the country.

In the country of the country

WORKERS WANTED

BLACKBOSE PRESS is a libertarian communist/feminist collective that rints for people in struggle: antiracists, workers, tenants, women and the left. We are looking for a fourth worker preferably with some xperience of printing.

Blackrose Press, 30 Clerkenwell

it is directed at hospital workers, the staff and warnings of managements principles apply to other areas in the dirty tricks.

We're winning while

- I would like to subscribe to LIBERTARIAN COMMUNIST.
- I would like more information about the LIBERTARIAN COMMUNIST GROUP. If there is a tick in this box, your subscription
- runs out with this issue For 12 issues
- UK and seamail abroad
- airmail all institutions PLEASE USE BLOCK CAPITALS

Please make all cheques/POs payable to 'LCG General Fund' (abroad IMOs only) and send them to LCG, c/o 136 Kingsland High St., London E8.

much as possible. That way pure Typeset by Bread 'n' Roses 485 4432 Printed by Blackrose Press 251 3043

Libertarian Communist

Special Supplement

10p



Socialism and Democracy





Crecheslowskis 1988. Fifty years before, the Soviets and Factory Councils were a profoundly democratic aspect of the Russian Revolution, where for the first time the Russian passants and workers took their turns with their own branch. This soon degenerated, the rase organs were allowed tools and advanced and work control or destroyed, and within the Party democracy was declared "to loury" and free debates because in Husgary in 1985 and Caedio-lourishe when years it falls, we however the first time because in Husgary in 1985 and Caedio-lourishe when years it falls, we however the first time because in Husgary in 1985 and Caedio-lourishe when years of the project a to the wild and Soviet in the long to the control of the project of the first time the Russian passants and workers to destroy the control of the project of the project of the Russian Passants and workers to the second control of the Russian Revolution, where for the first time the Russian Passants and workers to the passants and the project of the Russian Revolution, where for the first time the Russian Russian Passants and workers took their turns and the Russian Russian

We all associate the idea of democracy with that of freedom. We all, or most of us accept that freedom should not be absolute for any individual, principally insofar as the actions of one person or group of persons may have a disadvantageous effect upon the circumstances of others. Seen in its most handsome profile, a democratic society is one attempting to ensure that there are guidelines for social involvement which embody guarantees of individual right neither encroached on by others nor encroaching upon them. It attempts to define a mean and an equilibrium amongst individuals as regards their intercourse with others and with the community as a whole.

It is customary to regard our own country as being an example of a democratic society. But how well does it really measure up to criteria such as those suggested above? The majority of people probably think that it does so reasonably well. They would as like as not give the following reasons. Firstly that politically the unity of the state is based upon the equality of all citizens. We enjoy universal suffrage, free and regular elections to parliament, and more or less effective rights of free speech, association, and opposition. Secondly, there is equality before the law. And thirdly, economic life is based upon free contracts, made between individuals

The communist assessment is rather different. It judges according to more comprehensive and demanding standards. We turn first towards consideration of our economic life, it is here that we find the

most deep-rooted "un democratic" aspects of contemporary reality.

"Freedom of contract" really doesn't mean very much. It is unreasonable to speak of economic freedoms in isolation from relations of property and production. In a society divided into the property owners and the propertyless, and where the social norms are those of property and money, the crucial difference from a bargaining point of view is that those without property cannot lay claim to an independent basis for existence where property assumes the form of capital. They can exist only as proletarian workers; to obtain means of existence they must exchange their labour with capital. This relationship may become somewhat blurred by charity and state benefits, but it remains the essential reality of contemporary life.

The contract, or exchange, between capital and labour is universally of a common type. The worker obtains mone capitalist obtains, however, is generally something much more valuable - it is

Socialism and **Democracy**

Since the final months of last year, the LCG has been giving cautious support to 'Socialist Unity", an electoral alliance embracing "Big Flame", the "International Marxist pup", some of the smaller socialist organisations and a number of independent socialists. The appearance of such an initiative, alongside the simultaneous decision of the "Socialists Workers Party" to stand candidates in national government elections, must raise amongst a wider audience many basic questions as to what exactly is the longterm political ambition of the "left wing extremists".

Does our standing for Parliament, for instance, mean that despite everything imputed to us we don in fact accept its ultimate sovereignty upon all questions, and are willing to abide by contemporary demoncratic conventions? Many people certainly associate communists not merely with anti-parliamentarianism but also with dictatorship. The case against us may be easily summarised: we are the people who would deny the rights of free speech and protest to organisations like the National Front; who support "unofficial" Trade Union actions; and whose political tradition is that which in many parts of the world has founded states noted for the rigidity of their political life and the tyrannical stifling of opposition. In every situation, it is said, the communists are on the look out to put themselves over others by use of force. Where they can impose their opinion, they do not bother to argue it.

It is unfortunately the case that communist debate on democracy is presented more in books and journals than in our papers. Even when it does percolate through to the latter it is often in the knockabout form of "look what this or that government is doing to so and so", rather than in terms of proper political analysis. Although Libertarian Communist is only in the 4th Division of even the Left press in terms of circulation and regularity of appearance, we hope occasionally to complement the work of the more powerful papers by printing articles of a type we feel they should be publishing but are failing to do so. Now, therefore, we take up this issue of communism and democracy. After all it is particularly important that we are clear about our views on it when in the electoral arena we shall be expected to present not simply our specific policies, but also our criticism of life and society in its

disposition over the creative forces of the worker. The capitalists put this creative force to work on the other components of production they have purchased, and each aims to end up with an output of modities worth more than the sum of his original outlay, While the workers through the contract maintain their existence, they do not in general increase their wealth to the extent that they become

property owners themselves The capitalists and the workers are thus very unequal partners in their "free contract" of exchange, in terms of their

relative bargaining strengths and of their eventual remuneration. But the 'undemocratic' nature of capitalism does not end with this

Ideal

The ideal of democracy imagines deliberation amongst equals as the best basis for human affairs. Every individual partakes equally in the determination of the common path. The economic right of capitalism, on the other hand, embraces no such mechanism of mutual assessment. Along

with their labour capacity, the workers sell their potential for conscious collective control over their labour. On the one hand. they are bound over to the demands of the market system of the reproduction of capital, and become at worst mere factors in the domination of its accountancy; here are the roots of unemployment, speed-up. fluctuating real wages, etc. too numerous intertwined, and in some respects obscure, though vastly more materially productive and remunerative than in previous periods, is still not a property of the workers as a complete expression of their humanity They lack the exercise of sober judgement over their own activity. Some live in bleak and depressing conditions at the same time as immense amounts of initiative, energy and materials are poured into the amassing of a seemingly endless clutter of comparatively superfluous novelties; furniture, luxuries, ornaments and prestige objects to satisfy not so much the more expansive gestures of the soul as ersatz needs specially made in order to be sold by the advertising wizards,

Deprivation Is it possible that anyone would actually defend such a balance of priorities if the problems of distribution were put to them as something which would be acted upon? Deprivation exists alongside extremes of self-indulgence, insecurity, overwork and futility exist alongside the liberating might of modern industry, precisely because the balance in our lives between work, leisure resources and need does not stand in any direct relationship to us but is rather determined through the alien social configurations of the exchange market and the accumulating, commodity producing drive of capital

At this stage, non-socialists who find the above convincing enough may at the same time feel a little cheated, "It's all very well." they could say, "comparing the capitalist economy with an abstract ideal of democracy and finding it wanting: in real life people, that is those living in the advanced capitalist countries at least, concentrate on the progress which has been made, and the social and political

achievements associated with capitalism."

Such observations are valid insofar as it is indeed true that capitalism has in many walks of life brought tremendous benefits which no one in their right mind would want to throw away, and insofar as it is experientially judged not according to abstract ideals but through the act of living



ratic rights over their everyday work — but workplace occupations pose collective power

*Photo John Sturrock (Report) Occupation at Massey Ferguson. Workers have almost no

itself. The point remains, however, that this living is not yet without its examples of dislocation and suffering which whilst they occur we shall be impelled to seek to eredicate And also of course that it quite legitimately sets expanding ideal objective for itself, Some people develop out of a nultitude of frictions between themselves and their world an acute comprehension i seneral terms of the limitations of their being as part of capitalism. Others proceed contented until one of its iron necessities should unexpectedly whip out at them and they find no channel for "equitable consideration of their cause nor redress of their grievance.

Our estimation of the path of capitalist development, moreover, leads us to suspect it of bearing a structural incapacity when it comes to reproducing itself smoothly according even to its own priorities. Needs and aspirations repeatedly find a barrier, and working class nowerlessness is reneatedly exposed, in the great crises which can befall the capitalist civilisations. Superficiality as providential as visitations of pestilence or

embracing class analysis and context them have in general been dominated by Proletarian workers, for instance, may understand the many ways in which their of capital. The necessities of the capitalist mode of production, both generally and in lives are commonly affected by their being mbers of the capitalist working class. They may rate the limits of their common ctations within capitalism, and if these are too narrow balance the hanny prospects f change in the mode of production against the dangers and bazards therein

working class actually expresses itself. Before moving on to this however, we shall attempt to go a little more into the ices in which they must take place. We have given an interpretation of boundaries which its very structure gives to

To complete the picture we must next turn to examine some aspects of those



Workers at the IMRO printing works in Normandy occupied their factory for nine months against clours wull they were eviced by French riot police. They relicted the solution of turning the factory into a worker sockpeartup, seing this may a solution that survived the need's or classific. Instead they worked the control of the French pointing industry and the Sarre Australia, Plancy of which the individualistic of the French pointing industry and the Sarre Australia, Plancy and worked the Sarre Australia, Plancy and worked the Sarre Australia, Plancy and sarre Australia.

It is parliamentary democracy, in

combination with prosperity, which th

regard as its zenith: and it is true that

through which the working class may

express should it so desire a critical

in this climate better than anywhere else.

Isn't parliamentary democracy something

capitalist democracies that springs to our attention is that positions of power in

drought, these great convulsions bear witness political structures we call democratic in their means of resolution to the subordinate position of the working class, and in the very "spontaneity" of their occurrance to our lack of control over our own productivity.

Limits

Individuals assess their needs and the prospects of their satisfaction according to the pattern of growth and change and achievement of capitalism itself. It is, however, further possible for them to extrapolate from these individual equations of need formation and satisfaction a

to the mode of production also have a special interest in understanding and perating these policies. The very economic Democracy privileges they seek to protect give them a head start in the political sphere also. Reciprocal sequences of background, Our pursuit of the communist attitude to femocracy must ultimately involve us in iwelling upon how such a procedure for the advantaged education penotism influence and freedom from the wage bond have ensured that in terms of personnel it has mained a basic, statistically verifiable fact of life in all the advanced capitalist acies that the men and women in them have been and are governed. nomics, indicating some of the administered, represented, judged and commanded in war cadre s drawn, for the self-determination for the working class. most part if not exclusively, from those layers already associated with the definition

> naterial benefits. Such a repeated pattern of high social origin in state officials is testimony of how the combination of disadvantage in the wider life of society with formal political equalities results in the socially advantaged gaining a distinct advantage in the political phere. This has had important consequen for the range of social options presented dehate and for the stability of class divisions in terms of their expression through government, Even so, this factor is not an absolute explanation of the history of the advanced capitalist democracies, nor an bsolute indicator of their limitations

individuals associated with the social nowe

Origins

The point can be made that individuals of vorking class social origin have filled important functions in these states, and that they have been advanced by working class organisation and by working class ion in the parliamentary process. J R Clynes, for instance, wrote in his memoirs of the social origins of some of the members of the first Labour government: " ould not help marvelling at the strange turn if Fortunes Wheel, which had brought which have in some times and places existed in some of the advanced capitalist countries, engine driver, Henderson the foundry abourer, and Clynes, the mill-hand, to this innacle besides the man whose forebears had been kings for so many generations." Compared with the movement into public prominent apologists of advanced capitalism life of those of the ruling class, the success of these men had demanded incomparably more endeavour and self-secrifice not only by themselves but by many thousands of their supporters and other builders of a working class political culture, folk who had repeatedly and wearily to drag themselves The first characteristic of the advanced into the arduous tasks of study and organisation in the brief hours given them

for recuperation from their daily labours.

But the point for the moment is that they made it What's more their government. If its concerns, indeed bore marks of their backgrounds. It fostered social advance in the area of municipal housing and educational scholarships, legislated moderate improvements in unemployment and pension benefits and instituted a few public works to assist the unemployed.

Does the emergence of a government such as this indicate that the advanced capitalist etate may pliably serve the working class in any aspiration to which it might be inclined

Signal as the achievement of the early Labour Party undoubtedly was, it never presented itself as the spearhead of an anti-capitalist working-class movement. It did nothing whilst in office to challenge the root causes of either unemployment or deprivation, the two main problems it sought to redress.

Indeed, all governments are structurally divorced from the productive operation of capitalism, and this has meant that reformist vernments like any others have responded to the needs shown by capital rather than those shown by society, as the former are ined 'givens' in society.

The working class has been able to secure structural participation in advanced capitalist democratic governments only in periods when there has been a degree of working-class benefit from capital and on conditions of 'moderation' (i.e. class collaboration) in working class political

In our opinion this experience does not provide sufficient basis for us to presume that representative institutions in capitalism would serve as an adequate arena for working class anti-capitalist tendencies In our opinion there are two further reasons its particular phases, give rise to social generalisations in the form of policies for the for dismissing this possibility The first of these is that there is reason to state. Those social groups which enjoy a special position and special benefits relating believe that the ruling class will not extend its own adherence to such institutions to the extent of them being recognised as bearing a mandate for deen social reorientation Business remains possessed, like the working class, of its own patterns of self-organisation outside of the existing formal political structures of the state. Even where it possesses no alternative institutions for decision making an aggregate of discrete actions relating to the business world can emerge as a distinct social line for capital, in the same way as the working class can build an aggregate line piecemeal. The rise of fascism in both Germany and Italy for nstance, took place during periods of crisis for capital particularly acute for these tries, which had suffered in the Imperialist redivisions after the First World and implementation of the needs of capital and with the more lavish harvesting of its War. Despite its use of anti-capitalist rhetoric and subsequent attacks on some capitalists s individuals, the most important policies of fascism - destruction of labour organisation and militancy and aggressive nationalist expansion - proved an attractive option to many capitalists. It would have found success more difficult without the finance forwarded by certain sectors of the bourgeoisie; and it was also assisted by the leniency which police and judiciary were wont to show towards its use of violence and intimidation against the labou movement and the Jews. On another instance the great Spanish revolution of 1936 was itself precipitated by a right wing military coup against a democratically elected government with radical tendencies More recently the government of Salvado

> CIA-backed military coup in 1973 was widely regarded as a test case for the parliamentary transition to socialism Our second consideration, which is indeed perhaps more fundamental seeks a further elaboration of our attitude through reference to what we can understand about the needs of the working class in terms of a socialist alternative.

When we talk about a particular historic event (the 1926 General Strike, for instance), we can employ a degree of analysis which allows us to sum up the behaviour of the working class in terms of its revealing some general social propensity This panoramic device remains our main tool for understanding the broadest movements and potentialities of working class (and hence social) development,

Even the most distinct and critical of

these movements is, in terms of its actual unfolding, a process not devoid of contradiction and ambiguities. They tend to proceed in the form of surges of opinion and attitude. Our powers of abstraction, nevertheless, draw out from them recurrent patterns and characteristics. Thus we car

where dislocation between the working class and the particular extant capital-established social direction has been so grievous that the former has in rejecting the latter, thrown up organisation of production. On the other it spontaneously multiple centres of resistance hased on the creation of, or emphasis of allegiance to, totally independent local working class organs, These bodies workers councils soviets councils of action - have represented a need felt by the weekers to achieve a new level of affecting them: they have been the first

workers nower Councils

Here is what the Italian communist Gramsci wrote about such movements in ar article "The Turin Workers Councils" (He was thinking in particular of factory based organisations but his observations do have : wider application.): "Insofar as it builds the instrument of production; the working clas-itself. It thereby rediscovers itself, acquirin The working class thus asserts that industri factory. It presents the factory in a new form in which the working class constitute of the new state, the workers' state - and as the basis of a new representative system, a

Really, this indicates more what can be read into such situations rather than what is necessarily automatically there in every case But there are tremendous implications, The workers turn away from the established foci of social unity and express confidence only in those of their own organisations through which they feel they can directly express thir needs and interests. Sometimes this action has appeared as a dead end, with no dy way forward being apprent. The need for the expropriation to which Gramsci referred, however, is always directly or indirectly manifest — the need to become ners of ourselves is felt most generally as the need to somehow pull the world as an outside social reality into a subjection such as we strive for over the world as a materia reality, to make our society our own property, to bring it under a control which we acknowledge and in which we can participate. Whenever the working class turns to sole dependence upon its own self-organisation, we see the possibility of the complete overturn of alienation and of the foundation of the mass



aken to deny them a platform for their lies, in order to saf

What does democracy mean under these have potency with regards to the entire retains its formal element of equality of deliberation on the new basis of equality of social position posited within the stitutions of workers' power. Experience shows that the latter is assential to the rmer. Whereas in capitalist societies the lack of democracy in the economic sphere perverts the impact of the structures of political democracy in existing alongside them in the socialist societies lack of democracy in the economic sphere arise:

precisely because and as part of the demise the requisite sort of political democracy. Establishment of an independent anisation of workers power is essential to the transition to socialism. It provides simultaneously the necessary social-structural base and a much firmer network for the possibly needed task of self-defence. Unfortunately, however, it is not something which may gradually be prepared for within the confines of the everyday social practice of capitalism ervers of revolutionary situations have noted how they invariably emerge as a crisis within the established order.

Needs

It is here that we must return to the very processes of social assessment of need formation and satisfaction within the working class. Whenever working class aspirations and capitalist reality grate together like gears out of meeh it is on one level possible to draw out of the situation two broad alternatives for the workers involved; on the one hand aquiescence i capital and consequent restriction of expectations to guidelines consistent with it

on the other, rejection of capital, and organisation to achieve the restructuring of society. But such alternatives rarely present themselves directly in the consciousness of the workers involved. Partly, this is because the history of capitalism contains many references to advancement in the material conditions of the metropolitan workers and to their winning of specific objectives so that there appears little basis for regarding every conflict as being absolutely critical to the stability of the social whole. Even in a period of crisis the gains of isolated sectors. of the working class may indeed be compensated for through various rectifying mechanisms (price control, value transfer etc.). There are, however more fundamental

Firstly, the very fact that capitalism appears as the domination of society by alien forces rather than as mutual assessment

economic life amongst separate commodity circumstances? On the one hand it begins to producers and the consequent placing of emphasis upon local struggles and

Secondly, again referring to alienation he weight of experience tends to bestow an empirical, verifiable, absolute reality to xisting social relations and to thus create conditions which suspend workers in ruggle between acceptance and rejection of

And finally, the cultural and educational conditions of working class existence ill prepare working class individuals to imagine general social locations in terms of analytical social distribution means that any section of comparison - with dissatisfaction more often than not consequently residing strictly is faced with the choice of either fighting in individual or specific circumstances. There directly for their cause or aquiescing in the are thus strong reasons why the working class in capitalism so often moves in terms of turbulent inarticulacy when it comes to the to take such self-defining actions, and in econciliation of its particular objectives with broader social generalisations. These troubled currents are nevertheless the very life-blood of our general social develope

can meet our objectives, but feel that in a socialist society they might become the central directional references for a proper economic plan. This isn't to say that winning any one objective in any single situation would be either impossible or indicate the dawn of socialism. The way we would put it is that the aggregate tendency of need formation and satisfaction in the working class is towards finding barriers in capitalist and no basis in it for equaitable resolution. It thus tends towards requiring socialism.

Meanwhile, we emphasise that the absence of any mechanism of equitable workers taking up a grievance against capital decision of the affair by the alien forces. We general we respond to working class struggle from the standpoint of acknowledging their vital role in the evolution of an eloquent and pendent proletarian voice.



Longbridge toolmakers struck unofficially last year for higher differentials, and provoked cism from the left as well as the bourgeois medis. However, socialists should support ALL action may demands, particularly when it is betrayed by the union boreaucrast, while continuing to mer home the point that wage increases do not have to be at the expense of other workers. Photo John Sturrock (Report

our groping for an authentic voice of telf-expression amidst all the powerless

At this juncture, a summary of the main

Foothold

assertions made so far may well prove useful mode of production bears some profound! hat its consequences and class structure affect the relative accessability of democratic political organs to the working class. Thirdly, that where the working cla has nevertheless gained a foothold in these political institutions it has not been in establishment of planned economies o directly control all aspects of social production. Fourthly, that the independent elf-organisation of capital and its ability to obilise antiparliamentary forces would robably render any such attempt to plan duction through parliament impossible. scialism is posited as a possibility for the vorking class only under specific ircumstances arising from these and

The above are important points of ference in the libertarian communist world view. They do indeed add up to a lack of confidence in the ability of parliamentary democracy to be a suitable matrix for socialism. We have a different understanding of what is socially and politically important as compared with those parties which belie that parliament is the sovereign residence of ollective social action.

We attempt in particular to relate to the

areas of dislocation between the life and aspirations of the working class and the necessities of capital, affirming that that life and those aspirations deserve to be treated differently by society. Consequently, when we formulate a policy what we attempt to achieve is a statement of what the general dislocations could amount to - not being bound in our proposals by the specific prospects of capital. We propose objective for the working class to aim at, rather than capitalist "solutions". We doubt if capital

By way of a conclusion we should refer more directly to "the case against us paragraphs. The first thing we must do here is admit that socialist politics do bear very grave dangers — it would be difficult to think of any contemporary social response which didn't, The particular danger in an anti-capitalist revolution of failing to sustain mass democratic involvement arises partly from the tempestuous aspect of the nature of working class development to which we have already referred, and partly to the specific military and economic difficulties which may initially beset those areas which first attempt the

history of revolutions, looking closely at what affects this factor of mass involvement in the social processes then at work and attempting to understand the degree to which it may be consciously the Left today is deficient in this area, as anning models and procedures, byjously such theoretical schemes must be tentative - but surely they need to proceed further than their present stage and certainly to become a much more central concern of every socialist.

Front

Turning to certain current socialist policies which are widely thought to be undemocratic - such as the nature of our opposition to the National Front and support of rank and file militancy - well, the first point to be made is that these are really secondary to our main arguments on the issues presented above: it is ossible to disagree both with them and the main argument, or merely with how they interpret the mandate of this latter. In general, they do of course refer to it. The case for no platform is for instance

interpretations made above plus other specific observations concerning the Front and fascism. We see that the leadership of the National Front has a history of open idolitory of Hitler and that many of the party's policies mirror those of the Nazis - not just on race but on things such as hostility to "finance capital", desire for a strong state, both home and abroad, intention to dismantle the industrial organisation of the working class, etc. We have seen that it is possible for the ruling class to remove its support from the parliamentary type state in favour of a fascist regime and that parilamentary conventions may fail a working class faced with such direct action organised on behalf of capital. We can see how the National Front has repeatedly tried to develop the strike forces and mass movement which is the first basis for such direct action (though we wouldn't claim that many of the ruling class as yet see it as operating in their interests). And thus we come to the conclusion that to make sure that the fascists don't take off into becoming a credible option for capital we must show now that we will not grant them the advantage of restricting our opposition to normal political channels, but will seek to thwart them through mass direct opposition, especially insofar as their attempt at intimidation and self-organisation around violence are concerned.

Reject

In similar fashion, our case for supporting unofficial industrial actions upon their merits rather than upon whether or not they are made official relates primarily to our view of working class development outlined in the main



Oppressed groups — women, navs and blacks — have a particular relationship to socialist democracy, it is vital for their movements to have organisational and political autonomy — both before and after a socialist revolution, since it would be naive to assume that their demands would instantly and automatically be met.

body of this article. But it also inacorporates further arguments, which time and space prohibit going into here, about the trade unions specifically, which lead us to reject certain aspects of their organisation and practice with regards to the relationship in them between representatives of the corporate whole and the rank and file. (See, for instance, the editorial in the last edition of LC.)

Since our analysis of the world shows us a state of affairs in which there is no legitimate repository of egalitarian social unity, we find ourselves repeatedly trying to place ourselves in the living history of its creation — by no means a simple of selfevident project. We do try as part of this, or at least good socialists

do, to be cereful always to enhance mass involvement in the erection of procedures with real meaning in terms of extended participation in the vital decisions about life: this even under conditions where such participation is forwarded only through the hectic and inefficient mechanism of struggle.

We certainly believe our mission to be a democratic one — in the sense described in this article, Perhaps better people than us have been deluded about the impact of themselves upon the world. At least we seek not to delude others about what this world is, about the options it presents, about the forces at work in it or about how we understand our own role.

now

Libertarian Communist supplements

Hungary



The Hungarian Revolution of 1956 was a watershed in working class history. This supplement describes the growing opposition to Stalinism, the uprising, and the eventual crushing of the revolution.



Russia 1917 describes the economic background to the revolution and tries to under understand how and why the Bolsheviks became increasingly unresponsive to the real needs of the working class.

Russia

France 1968-the May-June events proved that revolution can still be on the agenda in the present day in Western Europe.

France



All 3 supplements are available-Hungary 5p,Russia and France 10p +postage from LCG 27 Clerkenwell Close,E.C.1.

Libertarian Communist

Special Supplement

10p



SPAIN 1986

The Revolution which started on July 19th 1936 took place in a period of Spanish and international political and economic crisis. Spain had suffered badly in the 1931 Wall Street crash and the Depression. Its ndustries had developed largely in the 1914 1918 war, when there was no competition and the demand from both sides was high By 1936 unemployment was over 30% in ----the number unemployed in a total industrial work force of about 2 million was between %million and 1 million. Agriculture was also hadly affected but here the baric problem was a structural one, with 20,000 latifundia owners holding 2/3 of the land, Forms of land ownership varied but outside a belt of Catholic tenant farmers between Leon and Navarra small holders and persons were discontented and prepared to seize the land Seventy ner cent of the population was still living on the land, whilst the urban population was split between Madrid and Barcelon with over a million each, and a number of smaller towns. Over the five years up to 1936 the cost of living had risen by over 80%. The international context for the coming revolution was very unfavourable, Mussolin had early on given his support to the right wing in Spain. Hitler was to use the war as ar opportunity to train his troops in action. By 1936 Stalin had wiped out all opposition to him in Russia. After imposing a line that characterised the socialists as social-fascists in the period after the rise of Hitler to power in 1933 he had imposed on the Communis International a rapid turn to the right, emphasising the need for alliances not only with other workers' parties but also with 'radical' bourgeois parties. In 1936 in France where a socialist government supported by the Radicals took office, this policy had the effect of the Communist Party calling for return to work to end the strike wave that had broken out there. The Erench CD thus blocked the way to independent class organisation which had been developing in the assemblies of the strike committees. In Snain the Communist Party was transformed It ditched calls for revolution, halted its own

them with the socialists — yesterday's 'fascists' no more Spain also had its colonial problem. This was Morocco, which like Ireland for Britain was a training ground for an otherwise sedentary army. In 1934, when Asturias had risen against the conservative CEDA party government, it was these Moroccan troops which were used to destroy the isolated

youth and union organisations and merged

Fascism

Spain's working-class had the misfortune to face the rise of fascism alone. Whilst international volunteers did come to their aid, they represented little in terms of mass solidarity. Many were refugees from countries where fascism was already dominant. The volunteers from Britain France and the USA did not represent the majority of their fellow-workers, who followed events only through the distorting priem of hourseois and socialist papers 1926 was not a year like 1918 where socialist organisations throughout the advanced capitalist world were affected by the ending of the war and by the Russian Revolution Rather the Spanish workers were the last to survive undefeated from that crisis. The simultaneous crisis in France was accompanied neither by the autonomous developnent of class organisations such as militias and strike committees nor by the development of any of the revolutionary politica tendencies into mass organisations. Instead the fragmentation of the workers' movement there increased.

Politically there was little to encourage confidence in the parties of the Spanish left The Socialists (PSOE), the Comm (PCE) and 'left' Communists (POUM), all agreed that the coming revolution was bourgeois one, a continuation of the 1931 revolution. They believed the revolution should limit its targets to the monarchy, the latifundia owners, the army, the church and Castilian centralism. The popular front of these parties and the 'radicals' centred

The record of the PSOF was unusually bad even for a reformist socialist party Under the semi-dictatorship of Prima de Rivera the leader of the PSOE and its union the UGT (General Workers' Union) had served as a State Councillor and had suppoted mixed commissions of employers and trades unionists to resolve strikes. The CNT workers of their autonomy and had been



By 1939 the working-class had been defeated, temporarily, all over Europe, In Spain, Italy, Albania, Germany, Austria, Hungary and Bulgaria fascist or militarist governments ruled through repression, preventing working-class organisation. In Russia where market capitalism had been defeated. Staling ruled autocratically over a state capitalist economy. In Britain and France and other European democracies the working-class movement had been directed into collaboration with the bourgeoisie to face the threat of Hitler under bourgeois control.

Millions would die fighting over the next few years in a struggle which did not achieve any changes for working people other than the partial destruction of fascism. The working-class movement which in 1910 appeared to be moving towards revolution had been unable to prevent two world wars, the degeneration of the revolution in Russia, and their co-option into popular fronts which if they ultimately defeated Hitler in 1945 did so at the cost of preserving

Looking back today it is difficult to imagine in this context the enthusiasm of the Spanish Revolution. History is made after all by people, and their actions are not 'inevitable'. Beyond the Stalinist Communist International which at its Seventh Congress placed itself firmly in favour of bourgeois Popular Fronts, reflecting illusions about 'socialist' state capitalism in one country, a number of political tendencies of all shades, anarchist and Marxist and the mass of the working-class attempted to build a socialist revolution in

In the face of the defeat of the Revolution this supplement intends to concentrate on two points which were crucial to the revolutionary movement and remain so because they illustrate the problems that have to be resolved if the movement is to progress. First, the capitulation of the leaders of the CNT and the FAI (the anarcho-syndicalist National Workers' Confederation and the Iberian Anarchist Federation) who prevented the co-ordination of the revolutionary organisations and the destruction of the state; secondly, the development of industrial and economic collectives, which changed the working lives of the millions who participated in them. Before we can examine the political and economic successes and failures of the Revolution we shall try and place these problems in context.

1933) and in 1936. However, after the defeat of the left in the 1933 elections, as a result of an abstention campaign by the CNT and the mobilisation of large numbers of peasants for the right by the caciques (bosses), the socialist rank and file had begun to move left. The UGT peasant union had re-emerged as a massive force as rural bosses the fusion of the Workers and Peasants Blow sacked workers in revenue for the pains won (ROC) who had left the PCE because of its before 1933. The 1934 rising in Asturias was turn to the left over 'social fascism' and characteristic of this shift, but it is important dissident Trotskyists who refused Trotsky's to realise that the UGT leader Caballero orders to join the PSOE. The party had refused to support the rising in Madrid. Thus Caballero and the other more right-wing leaders of the UGT were unreliable allies for risings in Asturias and Barcelona. The CNT

The positions of the PCE before 1936

the first years of the Second Republic (1931- followed the twists and turns of Comintern policy; it had few members and little

The POLIM (Workers' United Marvist Party) was a peculiar mixture. On the left were covert Trotskyists, who criticised the timidity of the leadership and objected to orders to join the PSOE. The party had won Workers' Alliance which had led to the had refused to back this rising except in Asturias because it felt itself too weak and

had also argued that nothing should be expected from alliances with the 'radical bourgeoisie in Catalonia. The POUM had nerhans 30 000 members in 1936, concen trated in Catalonia, especially in the town of lerida The POLIM joined the popular front but it criticised it too, saying that it seemed that only the workers made concession Whilst the POUM would support all the revolutionary initiatives instinctively through out the civil war, it placed them in no con taxt. It saw the CNT as the decisive voice of rkers and was prepared to wait for them to nuch for the revolution. It was not prepared to fight on its own, outside of its implicit relation to the CNT, which it criticised for lacking Marxist politics. Inter-nationally the POUM was linked to the ILP, SAP PSOP etc in the 'London Bureau'

Outside of these parties were other leftists Bordigists, dissident Trotskvists, and foreign exiles like the anarchist Berneri. Such people managed only to write some good commen taries on the revolution

Anarchists

different tendencies organised largely into (FIJL) and the women (Mujeres Libres).
Since many commentators who should know better persist in talking of 'the anarchists some of the basic tendencies will be

Within the CNT there were followers of all the anarchist tendencies except Pestana's Syndicalist Party. Pestana was the leader of the CNT from the murder of Sequi until he was expelled in 1931. He had advocated support for the government and participation in the labour commissions. The expulsion of Pestana and his party saw their reformism increase and they eventually joined the nonular front

The minority tendency of the CNT were the trentistas In the 1931 Conference they won majorities for the key proposals for national federations to link workers in each industry (as opposed to sindicatos unicos which grouped workers from every factory into a town or city federation) and for a patient strategy towards the government which excluded unrising. It was this point faction of the FAI who gained control after the strikes failed in Barcelona, They argued that the minority were compromising with the Generalitat while the treatistas realies that as they were not ready for the revolution they needed some understanding with the politicians. The FAI were understandable nory when the Generalitat was to see in their faction fight the intervention of the irresponsible wing of the CNT.

In 1934 the trentistas did support the joint rising of the Catalanist radicals, socialists and POUM. In the wave of rising that followed the trentistas' fears were proved justified. Whilst the Barcelona vorkers were already suffering from Generalitat repression, which spread to the suburbs and towns around Barcelona where support for the insurrections was strongest the rural risings were defeated one by one in Andalusia Aragon the Levant Catalonia etc. tees organised many risings, as well as a uccessful campaign to boycott the elections but each rising focused on a new region. whilst the previous centre was too weak to make any serious effort. Never did all the regions where anarchism was strongest unite

and rise simultaneously.

The FAI itself was split into various tendencies. Evidence for the political differentiation of the tendencies is sparse and sometimes contradictory. Abel Paz's book

Durutti: the People Armed details most of the controversies the 'Nosotros' group was involved in, Little information other than this and Peirats' Anarchists in the Spanish olution is available in English. More is available in various memoirs, many unpub shed, and works such as C. Lorenzo's Les Anarchistes Espagnols et le Pouvoir, Besides the Nosotros group which included the Ascaso brothers, Durutti, G. Olivar and R. Sanz, there were other groups around H. Prieto and M. Buenacasa who appear to have developed a moderate line, and the supporters of Diego Abad de Santillan who advocated a planned economy run by the industrial unions in opposition to Federica Montseny's plan for a free federation of unes. Whilst Montseny's view prevailed at the Zaranossa National Conference of the CNT in 1936, the alternative was partially implemented in the self-managed industries after July 1936

The basis of the FAI up to 1936 was loose the important committees of the CNT.

2 LIBERTARIAN COMMUNIST SPECIAL SUPPLEMENT

1936 it had lost much of the independence that it had had before 1931 from the reformists and the then autonomous CNT Differences seemed to spread through the EAI once it assumed the leadership of the CNT in 1931. Important differences were maintained by the regional divisions within the CNT. The Actuality consistently follower a policy of co-operation with the UGT. The Aragonese were hard line anarchists, hence
the FAI inspired choice that it should be the
week should be introduced but had no plan seast of the National Committee up to 1936 to implement this demand. This led to rather than Barcelona which had a history of clashes with the UGT in the building debate between 'nuriete' and 'Catalaniste' The National Committee naturally took on

Fernandez who died in 1934, Orobon went into exile during the dictatorship of Primo de Rivera and participated in the international conference organised by supporters of the Organisational Platform of the Libertarian Communists, which was written by Russian exiles to draw on their experiences. In 1931 Orobon returned to Spain and participated in the Comedia congress where he supported the move to develop industrial federations. In 1933 he was one of the main speakers for the abstentionist ampaign, but worried by the isolation of the CNT he spoke out in favour of a revoluionary alliance with the UGT. He pointed to the example of the unsuccessful audution in Paussia in 1919 where socialists, communists, and anarchists (Landauer, Muhsam) had co-operated. His demand for an alliance on the basis of a five point plan influenced the Asturians The

1. Tactical planning, no co-operation with the bourgeoisie. 2. Socialisation of the means of produc

tion, integration of the unemployed into the workforce, production for social wealth, not mmodity production

3. Organs to integrate the economy.

1 Recallable elected executives

5. The immediate aim of revolutionary workers' democracy

Unlike the other politicians, the CNT did have a clear idea that the coming revolution would be a proletarian one. At its Congress in 1936 a motion describing libertarian communism had been unanimously passed by treatistes and others alike. The conference ratified reunification with the 50 000-strong minority. The failure of the CNT was its lack of direction, and its lack preparation to counter the coming military rising. A proposal that militias should be trained war defeated by one favouring the 'more anarchist' idea of guerilla warfare. The

GLOSSARY

Esquerra	"Left". A bourgeois Cat party.
FIJL	Iberian Federation of Libertarian Youth.
Generalitat	The autonomous 'government' of Catalonia.
GPU	Stalinist secret police.
ILP	Independent Labour Pa
IWMA	International Working N (sic) Association, anarch syndicalist international founded in Berlin in 19
PCE	Spanish Communist Par
PSOE	Spanish Workers' Social Party (Second Internati
PSOP	French Workers' and Pe Socialist Party, a split for the Second International Party.
SAP	German Socialist Works Party, a split from the S International party.
sindicatos	
unicos	"single unions".
Solidaridad Obrera	"Workers' Solidarity", of the CNT in Barcelon
trentista	"the thirties". CNT mo faction so named becau
	thirty of them signed a festo opposing tactic of

unprepared spontaneou

revolution advocated by the FAI.

Affinity groups such as the Nosotros were response to military risings was to be the not political tendencies so much as support groups of friends. Nosotros was consistently alliances was also agreed, since 30,000 radical and emphasised direct action but did political prisoners were held by the state and political decisions. Whilst they stayed in the this situation prevailing it is difficult to fully time of the Congress the CNT had Smillion continued to live at home whenever possible; the Council of Aragon was not recognised. ollow the developments within the FAI. By members, by the end of the year 1/m plus this meant that they were in much greater until December 1936 by the central govern The Congress accepted a transitional programme for industry that included

temporary demands as well as revolutionary ones. The programme for the peasants centred on the expropriation of land, but negrants were hadly represented at the congress. Sexual relations and some cultural superions were discussed to the frustration of some delegates who thought such time

the political character of the city in which it be won when the military uprising came. resided, and by whose local federation it was By 1936 the Spanish working-class movement had been through many experi Few theoreticians developed within the CNT. ences and was fundamentally strong. The Perhaps the most noticeable was V. Orobon CNT was vital containing the mass of the determined workers. A weakness was the dominance of the reformist UGT in Madrid and the Basque country. Although the denger of a military coup was understood by the Nosotros group, and their view that a revolution was the only way out of the obeyed not because an officer had been crisis was accepted by the CNT, no mass preparations had been made. A great weakness of the CNT was its lack of inter nationalism. Little or nothing was done by the CNT to aid revolutionaries in Morocco or France. The lack of help from the latter and the 40.000 trained troops from the former would alter the balance of forces decisively against the revolution, just as the reformists would sap it from within. The whole of the CNT instinctively welcomed the revolution which came on July 19th, but ultimately their lack of political strategy with the soldiers massacring the militias was to be the factor which disorganised and then destroyed this revolutionary

> president of the PCE) declared: 'In our country what is happening is a bourgeois democratic revolution, which in other countries like France, happened a hundred regime of liberty and democracy'. In fact a revolution of the working class had taken place. The basic struggle that went on throughout the period of the civil war was class strungle by the unco-ordinated organisations of the working class, and the recreated strength of the bourgeois state. In this struggle the militias, watch committees, revolutionary committees, rural collectives, political organisations, and socialised industries of the working class were destroyed or degenerated under the pressure of a bourgeois coalition composed of the PCE and the republican and regional parties, new justice was free. All judicial records to describe in outline the organisations of the working class revolution, and secondly will ask the question Why was the revolution

stifled, and by whom?". Rising

obvious to all but the Casares government which, afraid of the workers more than of the army, refused the demands that arms should be distributed to the people. The seizure of the barracks and the arms that they contained by the workers in all of southern Spain (excepting Cadiz, Seville, Cordoba and Grenada), as well as in Catalonia Asturias Santander and 2/3 of the making. Basque country - deprived the government Basque country — deprived the government of its monopoly of force. The basic strength even the wealth of experience of selfof the revolution developed through the arming of the people - this took two forms: easily obtain G. Leval: Collectives in the firstly, the organisation of watch committees Spanish Revolution, and S. Dolgoff: The and patrols to prevent fascist attacks; secondly, the organisation of the popular militias. Since the greates concentration of class power and organisation, and the largest amount of information relates to Barcelona and Catalonia, most of the following relates apply to this control of the following relates and the series of the following relates only to this area. Ten days after the revolution there were

nolitical activity by entering them - they remained formally members of their organisations with the right to contribute to contact with other people and could not be ment; even then half its members were in

Generally speaking they were complete improvisations; little or no preparation had been done. Although the CNT had collected arms from the unsuccessful Barcelona unrising of October 1934 there had been no training of militias, even after the return of was wasted. Congress agreed that the 36 hour the republic in 1936. At the CNT congress defeated. This group had played an imporclashes with the UGT in the building tant part in defeating the conspiracy. They industry, who were prepared to settle for 40 had planted informers in the barracks to hours. In practice unity in action had still to find out what the conspirators planned, and helped to lead the attacks on the barracks — collectives' own credit notes, or totally which were in turn divided into groups of 100. The latter was directed by an elected 'centurion' and by four representatives of the ¼ sections of each century. There was no rank as such; orders were given and appointed to run the unit but because the unit had elected their representative and

accepted the need for collective discipline There was thus no specific officer corps Everyone ate, slept and fought together irrespective of their responsibilities. Nor v there any uniform - except that it was common to wear similar clothes with red or black pocktion Given their lack of expertise professional soldiers were used — but had to be supervised. One column of soldiers and revolutionaries leaving Valencia split up,

The columns that left Barcelona did not achieve their goal. The volunteers enthusiasm did not make up for a lack of In July 1936 Dolores Iberruri (the present effective armament, or ammunition, Whils there were some lapses of discipline nothing could alter the basic problem for the milit after two weeks they had used up most of their ammunition. Although guerillas in one or two small groups continued to be active the Aragon front was to remain static for much of the war. The supply of arms was never effectively organised by the revolution aries to ensure that they could move on. After the departure of the majority of the militias for Aragon and Valencia internal security was supervised by Patrols which developed throughout Barcelona. The majority of the members again belonged to the CNT (325 as against 145 - UGT; 185 Esquerra: 45 - POUM). The patrols were linked to an investigative commission and to Revolutionary Tribunals composed of right wing socialists (followers of Prieto), the representatives of the various parties. This The rest of this pamphlet will attempt firstly prior to the 19th July were burned. At the frontiers with Erance the old quards were

Collectives

One of the most interesting features of the Spanish revolution was the reorganisation of On July 19th 1936 in all the major industrial the economy attempted both in industry and centres of Spain an attempt by the army to destroy the government of the republic was cultural level of the Spanish revolution was defeated, mainly by the energies of the mass way ahead of that of the Russian one. The of the workers who disarmed the officers and CNT was after all an anarcho-syndicalist by General Franco. Rumours and preparations for the attempted army coup had been the workers themselves — was one of the touchstones of any revolution. One of the grounds for rejecting links with the Commu nist International which the CNT had provisionally joined was the CNT's refusal to accept that either trade unions should be subordinated to parties, or that the workers should have little or no power to manage the. economy through co-ordinated decision

It is impossible in a short space to outline Anarchist Collectives. Most of the material here is from F. Mintz: L'Autogestion dans l'Espagne Revolutionnaire, which is collectives. He estimates that 1.5m people overall were involved in these experiments 18,000 people organised in the militias IThe relative strengths were: 13,000 CNT-FAI, 2,000 UGT, POUM 3,000 police etc. 3001. The militias differed from ordinary armies in tion. Aragon, which was protected from the arious ways. Their members did not cease intrusion of the communists by its geo-

graphical position and the presence of the revolutionary militias until late 1937 provides the most developed picture of rural revolution Whereas Popular Front adminisseparated from the political debates going on the CNT, and one in the syndicalist party. Only three quarters of the province was

unoccupied by the Françoists. A CNT organised congress of collectives attracted representatives from 80,000 collectivists (hefore May 1936 there were only 34,000 CNT members over all of Aregon Mayarra and Rigis) The 275 collectives were grouped into 23 federations a motion proposed by the Nosotros had been Of 0.43m inhabitants 69.5% were involved in collectives, running 70% of the land, according to one estimate. Many had done away with money internally - distributio was made either by rationing, by the use of some of them were killed. Later Durutti was freely. A few were able despite the war to to lead one of the columns that left to retake begin improvements - machinery, irrigation Zaragossa from the rebels. Each column was etc. Generally speaking wages were paid to composed of a number of groups of 500 men the family, with wives and children receiving en unequal share. Schools were often set us for the first time. Most collectives voluntar ly sent large amounts of food to the front. The basic structure of all the collectives was similar. Those that joined it shared out their land and worked in small groups run by their by general assemblies with everyone present.

Some of the collectives were formed near parts of the front where the POUM and PSUC were active too, and independently of the CNT Relations with the UGT varied: the CNT policy was that the small landowners who joined the UGT to protect themselves against the revolution should only be allowed to work land which they could use themselves. A few of the collectives agreed that a bank should be set up - not an interest earning bank but a bank for the exchange of commoditie between collectives between town and country, and for international purchases

Industry

Industrialisation in the towns was less profound than in the countryside where the basic structure of life changed entirely. The necessity to improvise a vast war industry to produce explosives for the first time in Catalonia, and therefore to work long hours imposed limitations on the possibility for socialisation of the urban economy. Again only one example can be given - Barcelona; the centre of anarchist Spain. The socialisation of the economy in Barcelona was undertaken spontaneously by the workers The Catalan regional committee of the CNT had merely ordered a general strike and a resumption of work. Most of the larger businesses - railways, trams, engineering electricity, etc. were collectivised in the first week of the revolution. One of the first measures was to reintegrate all the unem-ployed into their former jobs. Wages were often made equal, and increased. Some trades were substantially reorganised wood and furniture, hairdressers, bakers, etc with smaller shops being shut down in favour of more modern economical ones

One of the important features of the revolution was the attempt to maintain the goodwill of technicians to help run the factory. In some cases they were granted their professional expertise was most needed but they did not have any greater power over hiring and firing or other day to day matters, which were generally controlled by the two major unions working together (the POUM union seems to have disappeared by The economy suffered from two prob-

lems:- firstly, one in four factories suffered from a lack of raw materials from abroad and from Françoist Spain; and secondly from lack of finance. In Sentember 1936 a regional plenum of 200 unions passed a motion that left it open for unions to collectivise generally; the CNT also made some attempts to set up a labour bank which would be used to co-ordinate exchanges between co-operatives, in August 1937. Another novel feature of the collectives was the development of social and health measures to improve workers standards of living which were often free Abortion became legal for the first time. One of the significant features of the Spanish revolution was the development of a women's organisation. Mujeres Libres began in Madrid where a women's group began to teach women how to read and write. By 1938 it was a 30,000 strong mov ment organised throughout republican Spain. While it did not develop specifically

as a feminist organisation, feminist views did develop in it. Emma Goldmann wrote in the December 1936 issue of their paper that whilst class or sexual oppression existed there could be no revolution. The war allowed many women to enter jobs for the first time, Mujeres Libres helped this development along; although many of the jobs were still preserves for men, women were organised for work in transport, san tation, health, food, etc. The groups also organized creches in factories Simultaneously Federica Montseny, an FAI mber who became Minister of Health helped to provide birth control and legalised abortion. The organization of the Mujeres Libres group was a positive step, helping women to become aware of and fight against their oppression; e.g. Pepita Carpena

at first I was not very enthusiastic but later I realised that there was a vast work to do amono ourselves' Although it is difficult to describe there were more general aspects to the revolution. Abel Paz talks of militants who didn't sleep for days. George Orwell notes that everyone being formal. Clothes changed. Revolution came from abroad. Papers were printed on

called each other tu, and comrade instead of regulator of the grants of the state of he an the capitalists' presses. Buildings were taken over. Churches and fascists were burnt and killed. Prisoners were freed, even criminals, some of whom went to the front in the Iron column near Valencia. The libertarian youth organised a popular university. The revolution and the counter-revolution that followed affected all areas of life.

Failure

Although a proletarian revolution obviously began, why did it fail? If any reader still doubts the bourgeois character of the PCE B. Bollotten in The Grand Camouflage provides a detailed exposure of their activities. The PCE and its Catalan PSUC played a crucial role in defeating the revolution They opened their parties to all the opponents of collectivisation and militias and supported the parliamentary forms of government. The PCE was at the centre of a coalition whose nominal head may have been republican, or socialist, but whose strength depended on the flow of Russian arms, to approved police units. These arm were powerless in July and August. By mber 1936 40,000 Carabineros and 28.000 national Republican Guards were created anew, with arms that were needed on the front. These troops and others led by Lister formed regular but communist troops in the popular army, and were used to destroy the collectives of the Levant and Aragon in 1937/8. For the bourgeois PCE it mattered most to destroy anything that savoured of revolution under the pretence that such 'excesses' were frightening off otential help from the liberal des n reality this policy fitted in with Stalin's. Stalin had made a pact with France to looks at the dates of arms supplied to Spain by the USSR one notes that virtually no

arms were supplied to Spain after late in 1937. Instead Stalin made a pact to divide up Poland with Hitler. No one can doubt that the PCF meant to destroy the revolu tion with its slogan of 'The war first'

Dilemmas

The problem posed to the revolutionary left was of how to defend and extend the revolution, and win the war at the same time Ferentially an evalenation for the defeat of the revolution and the victory of Franco has to relate to the way the revelutioners left forced this problem

Why did the CNT not build the revolution? 'No Libertarian Communism - first crush the enemy where he is' (Solidarida Ohrers 21.7.36) ' the government of the Popular Front in Spain is no more than the reflection of a compromise between the petty bourgeoisie and international capital' (Sol. Ob. 3-9-36). When after the CNT had joined the national government in Madrid (4-10-37): 'circumstances have . . . change the nature of the Spanish state and government, it has ceased at this moment as the oppressive force against the working class'
(Sol. Ob.).

Durrutti seems to have believed that there would be an anarchist seizure of power. after Zaragossa was taken. Santillan, according to Abel Paz, proposed 'democratic collaboration', not dictatorship, i.e. rule by the CNT alone would be against anarchist principles, and might provoke armed inter vention from foreign powers. After a few weeks of this policy G. Olivar who at first had argued that revolution was inseparable from the war told Durrutti in August 1936



bourgeoisie, when one attacks foreign pronerty, when public order is in the hands of the workers, when the militia is controlled by the unions, when in fact, one is in the process of making a revolution from the hottom up, how is it possible to give this a

These are just some of the arguments that went on in the CNT. The arguments of the Nosotros group appear to have been

defeated by Santillan and the ex-trentistas first in Barcelona where the tide of revolu-



secretly from within a government. He secretly from within a government. He replied: When the workers expropriate the outside Catalonia (where the CNT was

that it was necessary to build the revolution tion was strongest later in national meeting

The revolution and civil war in Spain in 1936-1939 contained some of the greatest moments in the history of the European working-class.

It is important for libertarians to remember that the largest single organisation of the working-class in Spain was the CNT, the anarco-syndicalist trade

Today, 40 years on, does the libertarian tradition have any importance in Spain? The answer to that question must undoubtedly be

yes. Despite being ignored by most of the revolutionary Left in Britain, the Libertarian movement has grown rapidly since the death of Franco. The CNT is growing rapidly, and now has perhaps as many as 30,000 members. As important, it seems to have learnt from the mistakes it made in the Civil War.

The Libertarian Spain Committee believes that solidarity work with Spanish libertarians is vital for us in Britain, and sees Spain as "the weak link in European capitalism."

Libertarian Spain, bulletin of the LSC, is available for 20p inc. postage, bundles of 5 for £1 cash with order, from LSC, 136 Burley Rd, Leeds 4. No 1, still available, covers the rebirth of the CNT, the June elections, economic and political background, No 2 available from January, covers recent developments, the counter-culture etc etc.

Libertarian Spain



Есопошу Within the economy there were two prob lems: finance and the UGT. The failure of the CNT to destroy the capitalist economy its failure to organise and plan the economy for itself meant that raw materials for collectives were not secured, orders for uniforms were sometimes made abroad rather than going to revolutionaries. The

PSUC used its positions to reverse collecti



from outside groups) all reinforced this

Two arguments need consideration: (1) What was the effect of the policies adopted? (2) Was there any alternative?

The most persuasive argument appears to be that the CNT had to be moderate to win foreign aid. The CNT seems to have had some naive ideas about this aid: One article in Solidaridad Obrera gave uncritical praise to the USSR, without asking who the arms would no to. In any case all the arms went to repress the revolution as much as to fight at the front. Operations launched in the Balearics to entangle Britain and France against Italy, on the grounds that neither party would allow the other to gain nce, achieved nothing.

In fact the policy of placating the liberal democracies had great costs. It meant that the revolution made no attempt to destroy Franco's base in Morocco by supporting an anti-imperialist struggle. Spain also accepted that there should be no attack on Algeciras where troops arrived from Morocco. Thus the Spanish navy, which remained in ublican hands for most of the war was left idle, where it could have struck an important blow. Britain was unbanny about warfare in the vicinity of its base at Gibraltar. The government com also related to the use of the Bank of Spain's gold deposits. The IWMA had co-operated in a plan to use the money to buy arms after the gold had been seized by a force led by Durrutti and Santillan. The latter however developed cold feet at the thought of alienating the government of Madrid. Subsequent ly the gold went to Russia and the arms The effect of the policy of working

within the parliamentary institutions which the CNT leadership developed from the beginning of July also had counterrevolutionary effects on the development of the armed forces. Whilst the leaders worried bout imposing dictatorship, the forces were ecruited that were to retake Barcelona. Whilst some anarchists were saying we must take Zaragossa before building libertarian communism in Barcelona, the PSUC prepared for the confrontations of May 1937. The CNT accepted the destruction of the watch committees at a time when the Spanish branch of the GPU prepared for the murder of embarrassing militants. The leade of the POUM, A. Nin, was taken by the GPU and killed, possibly in Moscow, Other well known militants disappeared, notably Camillo Berneri, Rumours persist that Durrutti was shot from behind. Meanwhile at the front militarisation was accepted. cessions were won, all the CNT units being kept together, but militarisation still meant the destruction of revolutionary f-discipline in favour of regimentation C. Mera who at the Zaragossa CNT Congress had opposed militias (he wanted gueril warfare) ended up by making a speech where he declared that as a General he would no longer speak to an ordinary

visations in industry; e.g. they resurrected small bakeries to win the support of their petty bourgeois allies, and in the process created a bread shortage that led to large queues for bread in the working class suburbs whilst restaurants had plenty for the rich. Collectives were not integrated so that there were examples of workers being beaten up for asking for payment of bills. Whilst the CNT pursued an alliance with the UGT it compromised itself as the defender of the workers' collectives. When a pact was recall Kronstadt . . . The dilemma 'Madrid finally signed it represented the organisations' bureaucracies - but not the workers' movement.

There was no involvement in planning who should produce what within each factory assembly. Durrutti had prophesied a 'state socialist' economy more or less correctly. The policy of compromise pursued by the CNT therefore implied the negation of the revolution. The right wing tendencies who argued that the state was no longer repressive took hold of the movement through bureaucratic means, just as they were using similar means to run the economy and army. Opposition papers which did not reflect the line of the central CNT leadership were banned. No assemblies of CNT members took place to ratify the decisions that were taken. The national committee was supervised by permanent regional delegates, rather than delegates who had to report always to their own assemblies. ordered by the government to surrender In this context the organisation of national Industrial Federations to replace the sindicatos unicos reinforced the bureaucracy of the CNT. Similar processes took place in the FAI too. The development of these trends therefore implied a destruction of the revolutionary organisations and their replacement by a bureaucracy of full time officials representing an organisation whose members were silent and censored.

Politically the development of these tendencies compromised the CNT as a revolutionary class organisation. The political alternative to this betraval

developed in many places.

Camillo Berneri wrote some erudite articles about revolutionary governments exposing both the Leninists and the ministers. In December 1936 he wrote '... There is a smell of Noske in the air. If Madrid were not in flames one would be obliged to or Franco' has paralysed Spanish anarchism. Today Barcelona is situated between Burgos, Rome, Berlin, Madrid and Moscow. Besieged ... we can still perform miracles. Caught between the Prussians and Versailles, the commune lit a fire which still lights the world. Between Burgos and Madrid there is Barcelona...'.

The Mujeres Libres group made a novel demand on the rest of the anarchist movement. They asked that they should be given equal representation with the FAI, FIJL, and CNT.

Many in the militias refused to accept the decrees that mobilised them as part of the popular army

In March 1937 a federation of collectives attacked by state police organised a defence front between themselves.

The FIJL organised a campaign in defence of the patrol committees who were their arms.

Perhaps the culmination of this opposition was the alliance formed in the streets in May 1937, when the PSUC attempted to intimidate the workers' organisations, Rank and file CNT members, POUMists, a few Bolshevik-Leninists (Trotskyists), and an illicit CNT group, the Friends of Durrutti united behind the barricades. In May they had condemned the CNT leadership with

this manifesto: ... We are the friends of Durrutti and we have sufficient authority to condemn those individuals who through incapacity and fear have betrayed the working class. Whilst we have more enemies in front of us they gave power to Companys again (the leader of the Generalitat), public order to the reactionary government of Valencia, and the defence commissariat to General Pozas - treason is immense.' They called for a revolutionary junta of workers. peasants and soldiers.

Later they declared: 'G. Olivar, F. Montseny and the leadership of the CNT have permitted the stalinists and assault guards to cruelly assassinate C. Berneri and the young F. Ferrer . . . since 19 July the anarchist leaders have capitulated many times before the demands of the bourgeoisie and in the name of anti-fascist unity have arrived at openly betraying the working class. Anti-fascist unity has been only subordination to the bourgeoisie - it has entailed the military victories of Franco and the counterrevolution at the rear. . . '

'To beat Franco we need to beat Companys and Caballero. To beat fascism we need to crush the bourgeoisie and its *Stalinist and socialist allies. The capitalist state must be destroyed totally and there must be installed workers' power depending on rank and file workers' committees. Apolitical Anarchism has failed. To beat the bloc of the bourgeoisie and its allies -Stalinists, socialists, CNT leaders - the workers must break clearly with traitors on all sides Their vanguard, i.e. the revolutionary militants of the friends of Durrutti, POUM, and the youth, must regroup to elaborate a programme of proletarian revolution.

Berneri was dead. The revolution was dead. Between Burgos and Madrid Barcelona had died isolated, but still struggling.

Libertarian Communist supplements



The Hungarian Revolution of 1956 was a watershed in working class history. This supplement describes the growing opposition to Stalinism, the uprising, and the eventual crushing of the revolution.

Russia 1917 describes the economic background to the revolution and tries to under understand how and why the Bolsheviks became increasingly unresponsive to the real needs of the working class.

Russia

France 1968-the May-June events proved that revolution can still be on the agenda in the present day in Western Europe.

France



All 3 supplements are available-Hungary 5p, Russia and France 10p +postage from LCG 27 Clerkenwell Close, E.C.1

1/50R/3/56/14

Libertarian Communist

Special Supplement

10p



RUSSIA 1917





The revolutionary process in Russia was associated with widespread discontent. Russia's participation in the imperialist First World War had placed tremendous strain on all aspects of society.

Although the war initially supplied some indurtal titles with considerable gins, it rapidly rendered the flusian economy is reported to the flusian economy in the supplied of the flusian economy in the flus

In the countryside the area of cultivated land was contracting and yield had declined by as much as 1/3. Starvation haunted the factories and the front; yet inflation made the richer pessants increasingly reluctant to part with their surplus produce above what they needed to sell to next xee.

What is known as the February
Revolution was sentially the beginning of
two simultaneous tendencies. On the one
which the war had either created or
which the war had either created or
make a close and or lose gireament
which the war had either created or
and so the war; the factory worken, food
and an end to the miserable conditions
and an end to the miserable conditions
to give them security from the seguries of
the market and freedoms from the
landowners, merchants and tax collectors
the rews as succession of provisional
governments which failed either to cuts
governments which failed either to cuts
define the condition of the condition of the two the condition of the worker was provided to a state apparatus which
did not collected to a state a

Why did these governments fail?
Partly because the disaffection of the
soldiers limited their capacity for repressive
action, but also partly because they were
governments of the bourgeosies which felt
itself threatened by every aspiration of
the masses.

Capital

The sort of social development associated with the bourgeoisie in America and

RUSSIA 1917

The last months of 1977 have seen the socialist press publish many celebrations of the 1917 Russian Revolution. The interpretation of this major episode in working-class history is one of the areas in which Libertarian Communists take a rather different attitude from that of those revolutionaries anxious to be of a "Leninist or "Trotskyist Tradition."

The Libertarian Communist Group admits, however, that a comprehensive and agreed libertarian alternative has yet to be produced. What we present here, therefore, is by no means a group position. It is rather an individual viewpoint, which we hope exhibits the spirit of criticism we feel on this subject as a group, whilst contributing to the ongoing process of resolving this problem by new analyses.

The political ambitions and competence of the Russian bourgeoisie were determined by their particular history. Their main characteristics were that they were dominated by foreign capital, their reso modern plants, and they tended to be subservient to a state apparatus which could claim substantial responsibility for their existence. The Russian bourgeoisie did not sociologically or economically merge with the proletariat through intermediate layers of urban petty bourgeoisie. They were, on the contrary, acutely aware of the gulf between themselves and the large concentrations workers in the factories. They were accustomed not to side with these latter against the autocratic state, but rather to all upon this state to obliterate all manifestations of independent proletarian activity. The Russian bourgeoisie cursed the imperial bureaucracy for its inefficiency and railed against the privileges of the court factions, but they had, and needed, little political programme of their own beyond

Western Europe had not take place in Russia.

The political ambitions and competence of the Russian bourgoisie were determined boy other Russian bourgoisie were determined boy other particular history. Their main characteristics were that they were of commercial interest with the major

Isadiords and fearful of any change in established properly relations. Involvement in the war was itself a consequence of the combined interests of consequence of the combined interests of control of isading sectors of industrial growth (e.g. of 50% of the output of pig as growth (e.g. of 50% of the output of pig is inon) and supecially of the money supply (e.g. 50% of Patrogat's bank resources were a common of the control of the control

Property

Once the autocracy had been blown away, it became increasingly evident that the bourgeois parties and those socialists who put their faith in a 'bourgeois stage' in the revolutionary process had nothing to offer. The key contribution of the Bothewisk between February and October was to define the question of the agency through which could be accomplished to the special process of the special

Industry—as a question of class power. The issue of bourgeois property was hardly ever raised directly by the mass movements of the period, except insofar as the land expropriations of the peasants threatened to rich ewale class-narchist current could gat a hearing. In general rows only raised indirectly, through the medium of the political consequences the bourgeoise fet necessary for its survival

October saw the removal of the bourgeoisie from power at least insofar as it saw the removal of its representatives from the government and the abandoning of the political objectives with which it had

Soviets

A new organization, the Soriets, had energed at the location for the formulation of social objectives, an organization associated with the participation and the policies of the previously oppressed social classes, the workers and eventually the location of the previously oppressed social classes, the workers and eventually the provided the context for an entire social constitution. The capacity for organization and struggle provided by the professival working people of both town and countries the struggle working by the profession working beginning the nature of the profession working by the profession working the profession and the profession profession the profession that the profession profession the profession that the profes

Let's consider for a moment this question

LIBERTARIAN COMMUNIST Jan/Feb 1978-7



INDUSTRY: Oil wells at Baku before the revolution.

of involvement. On the one hand its failure to appear in the Soviet planning process did not prevent the accomplishment of tasks which a Russian capitalism, as dependent on imperialist capital might well have found ossible should it somehow have survived the state to which it was brought by the war. On the other hand, in purely productiv terms its significance would surely have been of a positive nature in terms of the accurate assessment of objectives, potential capacities etc. Whats more, workers who know why they are working and feel an interest in their work tend to work better. I believe, however that it is as wrong on this side of the argument to leave matters exclusively at the level of productive efficiency as it is to ignore it. We have an interest in the rationalisation and planning of the productive forces insofar as such objective coincide with our total species development

The understanding of, and responding to social needs and capacities by each of us individually as part of the collective, changes not merely the "how" of production, but also the "what" and the "why". That is the importance of the mass self-determination of labour in the revolutionary process.

What happened to the mass self-determination of Jahour in Dussia? We could slip here into total and unapologetic fatalism. We could stop at pointing out that for us to seize hold of the world there must be ideal conditions for the revolution the world over. We see that from its onset the Russian Revolution was hampered by the non-occurence of revolution elsewhere, in that workers aid from advanced industrial nations was not forthcoming, whilst imperialist counter-revolution was. We see that this placed the task of reconstruction in the context of a small and shattered industry hung in potential tension, with an overwhelming mass of newly independen nessant-formers. We can see that both the Russian workers and the peasants were but poorly developed in terms of technical cultural, organisational and political experience. These were certainly daunting problems.

Nevertheless it is only after we have assured ourselves that everything possible was done that could have been done to sustain the mass self-determination of labour, even under these conditions, that w can allow ourselves the judgement that the disappearance of this component to the degree seen in Russia was unavoidable

lenin

Of particular interest to us should be the

THE TEDDOD

It is worth mentioning her the general question of From the very first days of the Revolution the vitality of the mass movement was endangered by the harassment, official and semi-official of critics of the Bolsheviks. As early as December 1917 the 'Cheka' the 'Extraordinary Commission' had emerged from the Petrograd military-revolutionary committee, and it had not been too sensitive in its

search for activities. The Bolsheviks do not appear to have advocated the right of appeal of all suspected to their local Soviet Instead, only Sounarkom if that had control over

ody retribution

of power to be the proletariats way of

expression of the conflation comes, for

the one represented by Lenin. A neat

leading the peasantry). This tendency was

instance, in his work On Compromises. He

"Our Party, like any political party, is

print works of the

Anarcho-Syndicalist pap

Golos Truda, and of the

jostling and chasing away

of its street sellers etc. I

proportions, for instance

in April 1918, the Cheka

endencies, from partisans

specific manifestations, the

general characteristic of

the terror and its lack of

responsibility to direct

undoubtedly contribute

centralising ossification of

in its own way to the

Soviet institutions

the Revolution.

seized Anarchists of all

to pacifists Whatever its

could also amount to

repression of sizeable

Indeed this 'terror' varied widely in practice. At times it was of a fairly petty Voline writes in his Unknown Revolution of the cutting off of

performance of the revolutionar leadership, the Bolsheviks. That so much was achieved was due to the fact that the workers and soldiers in particular rallied hehind the nosing by the Bolsheviks of the necessity for a new power in Russia, Without this consolidation of the idea of a new social organisation, the way would have been left open for the autocrats and the

bourgeoisie to impose a most violent and

The organisation and accomplishment of

striving after political domination for itself. Our aim is the dictatorship of the revolutionary proletariat." A rather vaguer expression of the same thing occurs in the

better known work Left Wing Communis "The mere presentation of the question dictatorship of the party or dictatorship of the class' testifies to the most incredibly and pelessly muddled thinking . . . It is common knowledge . . . that as a rule and in most cases , . , classes are led by political

It is also possible of course to find passages of Lenin in which he seems to emphasise the sovereignty of the Soviete rather than that of the Party. In Can the Bolsheviks Retain State Power? for instance he wrote of the Soviete

This apparatus, by virtue of the fact that its personnel is elected and subject to recall at the peoples will without huraqueratic formalities is far more emocratic than any previous apparatus.

In the final analysis, it is to the practice of the Bolsheviks to which we must turn. There we see a practice which reveals a repeated emphasis upon the Party, especially on the Party as government, rather than upon the fight to involve the workers and peasants in the Soviets as decision-making bodies, where the leading role of the proletariat, insofar as it still had one could be expressed politically.

October was the responsibility not of the Soviets as a whole, but rather of the

protesting at the extent to which Sovnarkow was governing with previous submission to VTsiK. A resolution supporting Sovnarkom in this was passed, however, and thereofter narkom began to operate with increasing

The constitution eventually passed by the 5th All-Russian Congress did nothing to counteract the lack of initiative of the Soviets and the drift of power away from VTriK to Sounarkom The equalst point owever, is that there was no attempt by the Rolsheviks to prevent this contraction of litical influence.

In the period between the insurrection and the dispersal of the Constituent
Assembly they had spread confusion as to whether the Assembly or the Soviets were to be the sovereign organisation, eventually making a complete about turn on the matte They had not consistently fought in the Soviets for the Soviets to proclaim their sovereignty. As events proceded they were to show further unwillingness to take matters into the Soviets. They were also to show themselves unable to foster initiative taken by the workers outside the Soviets.

Peace

No doubt in the early days many Soviet delegates had been engaged in heated discussion in their localities over the questions upon which they would be called upon to ratify a decision. In the case of the tion and the dismissing of the



All-Russian Congress of Factory and Shop Committee

Bolshevik dominated Petrograd Soviet and For the rest of this article, however, w its military-revolutionary committee. The Second All-Russian Congress of Soviets must proceed to look at, as it were, the Other side of the Rolchevik coin in terms of merely ratified the event, and legitimised a

the posing of the issue of social power. provisional workers and peasants The big question, of course, is the one of ernment' which was to direct affairs how the Rolsheviks related the party itself until the convocation of the Constituent to this change in social power. I interpret This provisional government consisted of the dominant tendency in the Rolehevike to have been one that tended to conflate the the Bolshevik-dominated Council of Party and the exercise of power by the proletariat (also understanding this exercise

Peoples Commissars (Sovnarkom) and the All-Russian Central Executive Committee (VTs1K), When the Third All-Russian Congress of Soviets opened in January 1918. ever, it found itself again merely ratifying a decision to dissolve the stituent Assembly already executed by the VTsIk hat the Second Congress had left behind. Or rather, by a VTsIK which had more than doubled its size since then 108 delegates had been added by the peasant congress in November, another 100 had appeared from the army and the fleet. and 50 from the Trades Unions

As a result of these events, the crucial pening period of the Revolution was marked by considerable confusion as to where decision making and political power were actually located. This confusion was not limited to the question of the empetence of the All-Russian Congress There was further confusion between VTsIK and Soynarkom. The latter body conferred legislative nower on itself by a decree of 30th Oct 1917. In doing so it admitted 2 qualifications. Its powers were to be valid 'only to the convocation of the Constituen

Assembly' and VTsIK had the power to 'defer, modify or annull' any of its Within a week of the passing of this decree non-Bolsheviks in VTsIK were

Constituent Assembly there does not seem to have been much disagreement. However on the question of the peace penotiations with Germany, there was disagreement, and this makes it a good issue around which to study the participation of the Soviets in government in their heyday.

It is particularly important here to separate the question of the rights or wrongs of the Brest-Litovks settlement from the way in which it was achieved. There was considerable disagreement at the time on the matter. On the one hand those who saw the need for a settlement even at the price of nnexations in order to give the new roment a breathing space. On the other ose who thought that the abandonment of aggression and the front coupled with propaganda and partisan resistance to any offensive would provide both a workable military strategy and also an inspiration to the workers of Europe. According to the bourgeois historian Shapiro (Origins of the nist Autocracy), the government went so far as to take a referendum of the views of some of the Soviets and found that a majority were in favour of the ond course of action outlined above.

Given the length of the negotiations and the strength of feeling in the country, this was an issue on which the Bolsheviks could

ZERO Monthly Anarchist/Anarcha-feminist" magazine 20p per copy. Cheques payable to: ZERO Collective ZERO Postal address: ZERO. Rising Free, 182 Upper St. ZERO London N1

8-LIBERTARIAN COMMUNIST Jan/Feb 1978

have sought the widest possible debate and made the final decision of all the Soviets. Debate was certainly figree in VTc1k and the Bolshevik Party, but it does not appear to have been politically centralised outside these bodies, Certainly, the extraordinary 4th All-Russian Congress of Soviets of March 1918 was called merely to ratify the treaty.

It was the way the decision was taken as much as the actual settlement which had tremendous repercussions on the Soviets In particular the main non-Bolshevik party, the Left Social Revolutionaries, lost confidence in the Soviets and proceeded to anneal to the workers and peasants to take up arms against the Germans, without the sanction of the Soviets. The German ambassador. Nirbach, was assassinated, and clashes occurred between revolutionany partition units and troops loyal to VTsIK. As a result the Left Social Revolutionaries were expelled from VTsIK and their presses were closed down.
In the handling of decisions relating to

the Constituent Assembly, and to the peace, and in the operation of the terror, we see components of the decline of mass involvement in the Soviets. We see that the Bolsheviks did not seek to foster this involvement, and indeed, through their nandling of the terror and of the organisa of the Soviets, served to hinder it. The progressive alienation of Soviet power was not simply a matter of the quality of involvement in the Soviets themselves. This was particularly true with regard to the proletariat, and its relations with the entire sector of public life associated with the management of the economy

In the general upheaval after February 1917 the Soviets had not been the only form of working-class organisation to develop. Many industrial enterprises had seen the founding of plant based workers committees On May 30th 1917 a conference of such factory committees in Petrograd defined themselves as 'fighting organisations elected on the basis of the widest democracy' seeking to create 'new conditions at work' and the 'organisation of thorough control of labour over production and distribution' Individual committees seem to have varied from types of trade union branch to revolutionary organisations of the shop On October 17th 1917, however, an

all-Russian conference of such committees called for the passing of all power to the Soviets, mainly due to the Bolsheviks having a majority at the conference. The existence of the factory committee

movement raised important questions about the running of the economy in the post-October period. The first of these was whether or not the factory committees could assume "ownership" of their places of work. The Bolshevik conception gas quite clearly that Soviet power did not involve actual expropriation of the bourgeoisie. They did not propose any sweeping measures of confiscation

The Bolsheviks envisaged a controlled or directed capitalism, "state capitalism" in Lenin's words, arrived at by such measures as the nationalisation of credit Mouseup this strategy collapsed rapidly even before the introduction of "war communism" Is many places the employers simply fled, in others they were summarily denoted ated Soviet decrees stating the need f



Anarchist banner in the Ukraine.

government approval of any expropriation went unheeded. The Bolsheviks could do little to curb this movement.

Mixed up with the problem of whether or not to take over the factories was the wider problem of what the basis was to be for assessing and implementing economic objectives, and what role the factory ommittees were to play in these proce-

Solution The Bolshevik solution to the problem

involved the affective subordination of the organs of working-class power to officials appointed by the state. On November 14th
VTsIK passed a decree on workers control which laid down what the factory committees could and could not do. Factory committees were to remain in control of their individual enterprises, but were to be ultimately under the control of the "All-Russian Council of Workers Control" which was itself dominated by representatives of the Trades Unions (The relation of the factory committees to the Trades Unions is by no means clear but the committees do seem to have been at this stage the more authentic rank and file movement.) Moreover, 'in all enterprises of state importance' (A phrase which could mean anything) all factory representative were to be 'answerable to the State for the maintenance of the strictest order and discipline and for the protection of property

Following the passing of the decree a projected All-Russian Congress of Factory mmittees was prevented from meeting Eventually, on December 5th 1917, a further sten was taken with the creation of the upreme Economic Council (Vesenka) empowered to work out 'a plan for the rganisation of the economic life of the country and the financial resources of the government'. A few members of the All-Russian Council of Workers Control. now subordinate to Vesenka, did sit on this new body, but they were outnumbered by epresentatives of the Commissariats and by specialists appointed by the sovernment

In the space of a few months, therefore. the skeleton of a planning system was erected which gave exceedingly little scope to the initiative and involvement of the working class through its factory organisation. Decisions about econor priorities were to be increasingly taken by state departments connected with the working class neither through the Soviets nor through any separate organisation based on the factory committees. It was not long before the combination of undemocratic centralisation of control, civil war, increased economic chaos and demoralisation began to threaten even those rights in plant organisation which the factory committees had fought for and won (Some letter-day Leninists point to the economic chaos as an example of how hadly the committees fared without central control. How they could

the ability to recognise that the class came above the individual factory, and the desire to be involved in the formulation of class wide objectives. From the first day the Bolsheviks opposed rather than encouraged this ability and desire

Kronstadt

We can see. I hope, that there are at least, cases to be further investigated with regards to the ability of the Russian masses to sustain involvement in the revolutionery process. Although the Bolsheviks contributed to the circumstances where such activity and involvement could flourish, they failed to fight for it, and eventually actively hindered it. What's more, still other features of the Russian Revolution could be considered in this light, were it not for lack develop more than "parochial" interests when they were repeatedly being out off The experience of Kronetadt is a well



PARTRIDGE SHOOT: Red Army soldiers massacre the sailors and inhabitants of the Kronstadi "ART INITIOES OFFICE IT REQUESTED AT THE ACTIVE SOURCES THE SERVICE THE SERVICE AT THE ACTIVE ACTIVE

from centralised organisation is generally left unexplained.)

Lenin's Immediate Tasks of the Soviet vernment gives, as early as April 1918, an indication of how the Rolsheviks intended to cope with the problems, Among the measures he proposed were the introduction of piece-work, "Taylorian" systems of work organisation, a card system for registering the productivity of each worker, productivity bonuses and stricter discipline. He wrote "Unquestioning submission to a single will is absolutely necessary for the success of lahour processes that are based on large-scale machine industry . . . today the Revolution demands, in the interests of socialism, that the masses unquestioningly obey the single will of the leaders of the labour process

The working class had been given no opportunity to express itself on these matters through the Soviets. Where it did express itself, through the factory committees, and later, to a certain extent through the Trade Unions, it showed both

Libertarian Communist is the paper of the Libertarian mmunist Group (formerly the Anarchist Workers Association). It will be coming out every two months contain 12 pages and is priced at 15p.

The paper contains news. analysis, letters and reviews. In addition to articles of a theoretical and analytical nature-necessary to countered the lack of attention that some libertarians in Britain have paid to theory in the past-the paper also has an agitational function and will fight to develop and extend the class struggle. The paper will contribute to

laving the basis for libertarian class-struggle politics.



the numbers or the ability to fight as hard as these young The Hungarian Revolution of 1956 was a watershed in

c/o 136 Kingsland High Street, London F.8. Bulk orders welcome

I would like to subscribe to LIBERTARIAN COMMUNIST I would like more information about the Now, after the Stalinist ice age which had grinned

LIBERTARIAN COMMUNIST GROUP. te working class movement for so long, came a thaw: a lot of people began to question the very If there is a tick in this box, your subscription

runs out with this issue. For 12 issues

UK and seamail abroad £3 £4 airmail

all institutions PLEASE USE BLOCK CAPITALS

Please make all cheques/POs payable to 'LCG General Fund' (abroad IMOs only) and send them to LCG, c/o 136 Kingsland High St., London E8.

known example of how even after all the trials of the Civil War a section of the Russian fleet and proletariat could not only foresee for themselves some of the harmful aspects of the New Economic Policy but also raise some as their central demand the political one of free elections to the Soviets. For this they were miserably slandered and crushed by force of arms!

The experience of the Makhnovites in the Ukraine is a well known example of how the organisation of anti-capitalist regional autonomy was treated by a government pledged to minority rights of national self-determination. It was miserably slandered, double-crossed and crushed by force of arms!

Another interesting, but less well known area to investigate would be what happened to the soldiers' Soviets. It is a common apology of latter-day Leninists that the war disrupted Soviet democracy, yet this war also saw the development of a coherent army. What happened to Soviet democracy in that? Did it go out the door when Trotsky re-introduced many traditional features of military discipline?

the consequences of the above analysis are for involvement in decision making. revolutionaries. Revolutionaries in a revolutionary situation have a crucial responsibility to raise the question of power- organisations, and seek to develop these the organisation of the masses for their own ends. We should seek, however, the construction of a united and democratic organisation of this power, and see this as



THE BUREACRACY: Stalin in 1929. By this time any idea about socialism had long been forgotten.

the active force, rather than acting "on its behalf". We should not take a mandate from such an organisation to form a I should end with some indication of what government, but rather fight for its own

We should be prepared for workers in struggle to throw up a plurality of into a common unity, rather than stifle their development.

We must above all beware of these who may seek to develop these into a common

unity, rather than stifle their development. · We must above all beware of those who may seek to refer uncritically to the Bolsheviks' record as a source of prescriptions in crisis.

At all times, whether in revolutionary struggle or in the political tasks we undertake in the present day, the beacon towards which we steer is the mass self-determination of labour. FOR WORKERS SELF-MANAGEMENT IN STRUGGLE AND OF SOCIETY!!!!

The revolution and civil war in Spain in 1936-1939 contained some of the greatest moments in the history of the European working-class.

It is important for libertarians to remember that the largest single organisation of the working-class in Spain was the CNT, the anarco-syndicalist trade

Today, 40 years on, does the libertarian tradition have any importance in Spain?

The answer to that question must undoubtedly be ves. Despite being ignored by most of the revolutionary Left in Britain, the Libertarian movement has grown rapidly since the death of Franco. The CNT is growing rapidly, and now has perhaps as many as 30,000 members. As important, it seems to have learnt from the mistakes it made in the Civil War.

The Libertarian Spain Committee believes that solidarity work with Spanish libertarians is vital for us in Britain, and sees Spain as "the weak link in European capitalism."

Libertarian Spain, bulletin of the LSC, is available for 20p inc. postage, bundles of 5 for £1 cash with order, from LSC, 136 Burley Rd, Leeds 4. No 1, still available, covers the rebirth of the CNT, the June elections, economic and political background. No 2, available from January, covers recent developments, the counter-culture etc etc.





Libertarian Communist

Special Supplement

10



Sketching the limits of Trotsky



Sketching the limits of Trotsky

This supplement is by no means an attempt to draw a balance sheet of either Trotsky's or 'Trotskyism's' contribution to Marxist theory and to the international workers movement. It is an attempt to provide a basis for such a balance sheet by considering three areas of problems experienced by the socialist movement in the course of the revolutions of this century and placing Trotsky's views and contributions within them to give some indication of the limits of this contribution

The areas examined are only schematically seperated, they are: the role of the peasantry in the transition to socialism; the question of the relationship of socialist politics and organisation to calss struggle in pre- and post revolutionary situations, ie "Party and Class" and the nature and significance of Stalinism leading to the guestion of the class nature of the Soviet Union.

The absence of discussion concerning the theory of 'permanent revolution' stems not from a ready dismissal such as Gramsci's "nothing but a generic forecast presented as a dogma and which demolishes itself by not coming true" (Prison Notebooks p 241), but from the view that the examination of the role of the negeantry undermines shared assumptions of the theory and of its rival 'national democratic revolution' in its various Menshevik and Stalinist incarnations (though arguably not Lenin's discarded theory of the

In universal history, the actions of men have results which differ from what they plan and achieve, from their immediate knowledge and intentions. They achieve their aims, but there is produced at the same time something hidden within them. which their consciousness was not aware of and which was not included in their

(quoted in Fernando Claudin, The Communist Movement, Penguin, 1975)

revolutionary democratic dictatorship of the proletariat and peasantry').

Trotsky's sketch of the combined and uneven development of capitalism in Russia (1) of the impossibilities for a colonial bourgeoisie to create an economy capable of cometing in the world market (2), and therefore of the only possible route to economic development (3) are very graphic and persuasive. The usefulness of the theory is considerably affected by his view that the peasantry could only act as the subordinate ally of the bourgeoisie or the proletariat. From this position Trotsky argued that only from an

advanced technological base could collectivisation be accomplished (4) The Chinese experience, in the middle 50's, of collectivisation achieving higher productivity without the existence of a technological basis proper to it (whether this s attributed to 'unutilised labour', 'mutual aid' or less prosaicly the introduction of further division of labour) and on the basis of a seemingly voluntary mass movement of the

peasantry, has forced theories based upon such assumptions into contortions -the CPC 'substitutes' for a proletariat denied a central role from 1927 to 1967. (5) The alternative is a more scientific task -the re-examination of the

revolutionary action in Europe.....and what

starting point for a communist developmen.

Engels gave a cool reception to Plekhanov's

happens ON and TO the land may serve as the

Even a few years later in a letter to Zasulich

role of the peasantry. becoming unviable.

However, the limited duration of the It was in the light of the concept of combined and uneven development that Vera Zasulich questioned Marx in 1881 on "the future of (Russian) rural communities, and on the theory that insists that all the people of the world should be forced by historical necessity to go through all the stages of social production Marx answered,"The historical inevitability of this tendency is expressly restricted to the countries of Western Furone "In 1882 in a new preface to Plekhanov's new translation of the 'Manifesto', Marx and Engels said "We say that Russia today forms the vanguard of The general premise of all social demo rate

There was, therefore, some support from Marx and Engels for the Populist view that the rural commune might provide the basis for a transition to socialism avoiding capitalism altogether. The founding struggle of the current which was to become Russian Social Democracy was to establish the reality and inevitability of capitalist development in Russia (6). By 1894 Engels had accepted that the pace of capitalist development in Russia made developments based on the rural commune impossible as that institution was rapidly

anti-Populist "Our Differences"

possibility Marx and Engels saw is not the main point of interest but rather (a) the implici assumption that the peasantry could be more than a primitive mass from which petit bourgeois and subsequently bourgeois evolutions would inevitably arise, and (b) that social democracy was founded in opposition to this peasant heresy [rather than on the question of terrorism (see Trotsky The Young

in Russia prior to 1917 was that a bourgeois revolution was necessary and 'inevitable -the questions debe ted were whether it was to be led by the bourgeoisie and whether its limits were prescribed by a period of inevitable bourgois democratic rule. As Trotsky



says 'the mere characterisation of the (Russian) olution as bourgeois tells us nothing about the type of its internal development' (The rmanent Revolution, Pathfinder, 1969 Whatever the differences between the social

democrats they were united in their estimation of the auxiliary role of the peasantry to more modern classes. This shared assumption also unites the factional positions within Bolshevik social democracy during the 1920s. That Trotsky's attitude to the peasantry was

consistent and largely deprecating is not diffi cult to establish by a brief survey of his views of a number of revolutionary movements In order to realise the soviet state, there was required the drawing together and mutual negetration of two factors belonging to completely different historical species: a peasant war - that is a movement characteristic of the dawn of bourgeois development - and a proletarian insurrection, the movement signalising

On the Chinese peasantry Trotsky notes (The Third International After Lenin, 1936): '(its) role will be neither leading nor independent. The poor peasants of Hupei, Kwantung or Bengal can play a role, not only on a national but on an international scale, but only if they support the workers of Shanghai, Canton, Hankow and Calcutta' (p. 226). Further to this, the Chinese peasantry was 'even less capable of playing a leading role than the Russian' (p. 184).

Discussing the Spanish Revolution Trotsky hardly mentions the peasantry. When he does his programme is limited to that of the first stage of 1917, 'the land to the tillers' (7). As we know the revolution in the countryside had already extended far beyond this (8).

These points are more than a repetition of the factional accusations of 1923 that 'Trotsky inderestimates the peasantry' (9) or of 1926 that Trotsky proposed to plunder the peasan try' (10) Despite the irregular propagandist appeals to poor peasants (a sure sign of grain crisis throughout the period), all factions in the Bolshevik party leadership were united in view-ing the peasantry as incapable of independent isation — they disagreed on the differentiation amongst the peasants, on the strength of the petit-bourgeois tendencies in the countryside and therefore the reality and extent of the 'Kulak mine under the socialist position' (Joint Opposition Platform Summer 1927). It is hardly surprising therefore that in Frotsky's discussions of the period 'peasant' and 'counterrevolutionary' become interchangeable

The alliance with, and subsequent betrayal and suppression of, the Makhnovist peasant movement in the Ukraine was explained by Trotsky in 1937 (11) as being due to the Makhnovists being 'Kulak cavalry', i.e. they were peasants, they were mounted, therefore they were counterrevolutionary cavalry. There is a fine irony in this that ones to the heart of the Bolshevik view of the peasantry. In 1920/ 21, when the Red Army Southern Front commanded by Frunze was to turn overnight against its allies in the previous days struggle against Wrangel (Trotsky also manages to nsinuate that the Makhnovists aided Wrangel instead of spearheading his downfall) the pro-

clamations 'Forward against Makhnovism' denounced the 'aparchist handits' for 'attackin the property of soviet citizens', i.e. the 'kulak cavalry' were encouraging land requisition and ollectivisation (12). As in Spain during the period of Trotaky's writing on this subject, the opponents of such 'petit-bourgeois' tendencies had to ally themselves with the landowners to secure a social base in the countryside (see Bolloten, Grand Camouflage, et al). For a full cussion of the Makhnovist movement see Avrich, Voline, Arshinov (13).

The suppression of the rebellion of the Baltic garrison at Kronstadt in 1921 is explained by Trotsky, 'they reflected the

explained by Irosky, way reflected the hostility of the backward pessantry to the worker the hatred of the petit bourgeois for revolutionary discipline' (11). Again the use of 'peasant' is considered the supreme argument as to the counterrevolutionary nature of the revolt. Actually Trotsky missed a lot out in his 1937 'evolunation' He missed the 'white general in command at Kronstadt' - who irned out to be an 'expert' employed under Trotsky's policy and playing no role in the rebellion. He does argue that the sailors who Lenin called 'the flower of the revolution' during 1917 had been dispersed and replaced by 'peasants' by 1921 - although Ida Mett had blown this legend sky high by 1927 when her 'Kronstadt Commune' detailed the histories of those involved and established the continuity Trotsky seeks to abolish. Of course the peasant movement of the

Ukraine was just that, a peasant movement. Yes, there were peasants involved in the Baltis fleet - both as 'the flower of the revolution' and as 'white guard conspirators' and 'backward peasants' (as Mett established, they were the same people in each case). An examination of the Makhnovist proclamations (12) and the demands of the Kronstadt Soviet as printed in the Kronstadt /zwestia (14) shows that in relation to the countryside even the most confused and backward of them were in advance of any raised inside the Bolshevik Party. Where the Kronstadters demanded nocracy — freedom to the soviete and tied this to a move away from War Communism designed to encourage the self-mobilisation of the peasantry and its selfdifferentiation by means of freeing peasant labour from expropriation but denying it the right to employ others (i.e. to strengthen the poor and middle peasants) as in demands 8, 11 13 of the Kronstadt Soviet resolution (14): the Bolshevik Party at its Tenth Party Congress, meeting at the same time as the rebellion and its crushing, began its move towards the New Economic Policy which meant a free hand for the real petit-bourgeois elements in the countryside, the Kulaks, and which expressly freed them to employ labour and develops agriculture through capitalistic advances. That the Party was to spend the next seven years arguing about the limits of the forces it unleashed by NEP is undeniable. Also irrefutable is that the policy of crushing the pessantry as a whole inevitable in 1928 was a esult of the prior destruction of all the tendencies towards the socialist mobilisation of

in the 1920s is obvious in the light of latter developments. This is particularly so on the guestion of the peasantry. Whatever the nature of the 'kulak danger' or its counterpart 'riding to socialism on a peasant nag' both ignored the basic fact of soviet agruculture - as Medvedey (Let History Judge) and Nove (Economic History of the USSR) illustrate, the basic problem was a huge subsistence economy which grew throughout the period. Only between 13% (Stalin) and 21% (Moshkov and Karz) of all grain in 1927 was marketed. This proportion (a source of bitter argument over the importance or otherwise of the kulaks) changed very little over the period whilst the number of peasants holdings steadily grew from 23 million in 1924 th 25 million in 1927 (Nove p. 106, 110: Medvedev p. 73).

That the Chinese revolution and the subse quent success of collectivisation in China shows the possibility of other estimations of the peasantry and its capacity does not merely mean that hindsight gives us advantages. Such hindsight was not available to the Makhnovists or the Kronstadt revolutionaries. It was not available to earlier generations of Russian revolutionaries either, yet in 'Letters to a Eranchman' (in Maximoff - Political Thought of Michael Bakunin) we find outlined a proremme of mobilisation through mutual aid teams, radicalisation through peasant selfcovernment propaganda by advantageous trade from the towns to the country, and careful strictures against encouraging the individualism of the peasantry and pushing them into the arms of reaction. It is not only in the light of the peasant based national liberation struggles since the Chinese revolution (Vietnam, Angola etc.) that Bolshevik attitudes to the peasantry are found to be profoundly reactionary

Confused in the suppression of the peasant and peasant-linked revolutionary movements (anarchist and left SR) is not just the mistaken estimation of the notential of these movement ut the fear that they represented a threat to the Bolshevik monopoly of power (this is the core of Serge's defence of the Party during this period - see Memoirs). At the centre of this fear was the Bolshevik conception of Party and Lenin had argued the need for a democratic

centralist Party because of the uneven development of the masses; the conditions of Tsarist repression: and the origins of revolutionary theory outside the working class, among the intelligentisa, Trotsky had originally sided with the Mensheviks in apposition to Lenin's views as expounded in What is to be Done' and fought for at the Second Congress in 1903. His attack 'Our Political Tasks' (1904) argued that the logic of Lenin's conception was that the Party tends to take the place of the class, the Central Committee that of the Party and the leader that of the Central Committee. This view echoed that of Plekhanov (Coll, Wks, Vol. 13 p. 317, cited in Carr: The Bolshevik Revolution Vol. 1, Penguin, p. 45), 'everything will in the providentia' will unite all the powers in That Trotsky regarded his opposition to

Lenin on this as the greatest mistake of his life is evidenced not only by his later admission but also by the fact that during his lifetime he neve gave permission for 'Our Political Tasks' to be reprinted. Whatever the subtleties and channed emphases of Lenin's subsequent works, in practice Lenin and the Bolshevik tradition of s successors, tended to conflate the Party and the proletariat e.g. On Compromises, 'Our Party, like any political party, is striving after political domination for itself. Our aim is the dictatorship of the revolutionary proletariat. Left Wing Communism: The mere presentation of the question 'dictatorship of the Party or dictatorship of the class' testifies to the most incredibly and hopelessly muddled thinking

as a rule and in most cases. classes are led by political parties'. In dealing with the movements we have earlier mentioned (Makhno, Kronstadt) the twin conceptions of peasant incapacity for action as a socialist force and the exercise of power by the Bolshevik Party as actually being the dictatorship of the proletariat are dominant. The conflation further from class to Party to Central Committee is clearly outlined in Trotsky's report to the Second Congress of the Comintern. 'Today we have received from the Polish Government proposals for the consars, i.e. the government supposedly drawing its legitimacy from the Soviets) but it must be subject to a certain control. What control? The control of the working class as a formless chaotic mass? No. The central committee of the at Noon'l). Party has been called together to discuss the The real poverty of the inner party debates Brinton's short book (The Bolsheviks and

Workers Control 86 nn) details the reason why the working class had become 'a formless chaotic mass' - all its organs of democratic power had atrophied (soviets) or had actually been obstructed in their attempts at federation

Lenin was fond of likening anyone breaking Party discipline to 'strikebreaking', Trotsky's position throughout his fight against Stalin and Bukharin (earlier allied with Zinoviev and Kameney) was crippled tactically by this assumption. He not only accepted the suppression of Lenin's 'Testament' by the 13th Congress but was forced to denounce as a lie Eastman's publication of the document - a move on his behalf (see Carr: The Interregnu pp. 266-7, 271: Socialism in One Country, Vol. 2, pp. 74-76; Foundations of a Planned Economy, Vol. 2, p. 17). He maintained this attitude throughout the debates of the 20s. 'The Real Situation in Russia' 1928, p. 129. 'It goes without saying that, after the adoption of a decision, it is carried out with iron Bolshevik discipling' Many of the favoured quotations of Trotskyist journals used for the inflation of clusion of peace. Who decides this question? We have Sovnarkom (Council of People's Commis-Without the Party we are nothing with the

Party we are everything'. 'It is impossible to be right against the Party' (Shades of Serge's 'Case

of Comrade Tulayev' and Koestler's 'Darkness

and national organisation (factory committee

movement)

Photographs c



Trotsky's central concern throughout the period of his opposition inside the Party was the danger from the Right from Bukharin and This led to his serious underestimation of the danger of Stalin and his late criticisms of the regime inside the Party. Trotsky began his attack on 'bureaucratism' (in dubious alliance with Zinoviev and Kameney) at the same poin that previous oppositions (Workers Truth, Workers Opposition, Democratic Centralists) whose suppression he had supported at the Tenth Congress, had started five years earlier (when Trotsky was second only to Lenin). For the Democratic Centralists, Victor Smirnov concluded at this time 'The Party is a stinking

Only after six years of exile, and shortly before he concluded that the entire Comintern was lost to the cause of socialism, did he turn to questions of socialist democracy - Bulletin of the Opposition, Oct. 1933, cited in Carr F. P. E. Vol. 2, p. 469. 'What Marx and Lenis meant by a proletarian revolution, and what the Russian Revolution failed to achieve, was a process of human emancination which would abolish exploitation, not a revolution which would expose the masses to new forms of inequality and new forms of bureaucratic organisation and oppression,' Whether Lenin's view were such is difficult to determine. Among the measures he proposed (Immediate Tasks of the oviet Government, April 1918) were the introduction of piece work, 'Taylor' systems of

work study and organisation, a card system for valide and much hallast of checlercent ideas registering each worker's productivit which it will have to iettison. In the epoch of productivity bonuses and strict discipline dictatorship, as now, it will have to cleanse its 'Unquestioning submission to a single will is absolutely necessary for the success of labou mind of false theories and bourgeois experience and to purge its ranks from political phraserocesses that are based on large-scale machin mongers and backward-looking revolutionari industry. today the Revolution demands. in the interests of socialism, that the masses

nquestioningly obey the single will of the leaders of the labour process

proletariat appears as the object in the strumle

tween Marxists and bourgeois ideologists

Death Agony of Capitalism and the Tasks of

the crisis of revolutionary leadership'). Since

this conception means that the class cannot be

(this is no hetter summarised than in 'The

the Fourth International', the Transitional

follows that it is necessary for the Party to

establish its control and to maintain it. The

substitution is then complete. Other organs of

class organisation, from unions to soviets, are

ureful only to allow the Party to 'here itself'

'establish links' or 'place (the working class

under the leadership of the Party'. In all this the relation is subject to object.

the extent to which these views were trans

his Enilogue to The Communist Movement).

the victory of Stalinism assumes the substitu-

tion of Party for class. Given the 'mystic link

whereby the 'isolation of the revolution' and

between the two we have the mechanism

the Party (State / Pauglution / Clare A lare

mystical explanation might begin by citing

precisely the objective separation assumed by the subjective identification — this is the

mothed sketched out by Arthings Brinton a

isolation are reen to be acting on a concrete

structure rather than a rambling and mystical

In looking at 'backwardness' as the main

source of degeneration (e.g. Lenin's strictures on the 'lack of culture' at the Eleventh

bureaucracy which followed it are ignored.

Trotsky himself (History of the Russian Revo

Brinton details the deliberate prevention of factory committee congresses. The result, the

apologists tell us, 'the working class itself no

organised so as to be able to determine its own

interests' (Harman, How the Revolution was

examples of such 'determination of interests'

Kronstadt and the Ukraine. In his works or

Germany and on Spain Trotsky repeatedly

and Anarchists for their failures which they

takes to task the Social Democrats, Stalinists

excuse by 'blaming the working class' for the

own false noticies the 'explanations' for the

each case they are an attempt to avoid an

slow degeneration through isolation of the

that all the measures which prevented the

of the revolution as Brinton's chronology

revolution and backwardness of the country

demonstrates. The Makhnovist and Kronstadt

movements were aimed precisely at the blocks

which also saw Trotsky at the height of his

power, saw the end of the revolution as a 'pro

already expused to 'new forms of inequality

and new forms of bureaucratic organisation

with the words 'The tasks of the new regime

will be so complex that they cannot be solved

otherwise than by way of competition betw

of a systematic struggle not only between the

socialist and capitalist worlds, but also many trends inside socialism, trends which will

vitably emerge as soon as the proletarian

nisation will be able to suppress these

trends and controversies A proletariat capable of exercising its dictatorship over

society will not tolerate any dictatorship over

edly have in its ranks quite a few political in-

itself The working class . . . will undoubt

, problems. No strong 'domineering' orga

dictatorship poses tens and hundreds of new

various methods of economic and political

cess of human emancipation'. The masses were

In 1904 Trotsky argued against substitution

instruction, by way of long 'disputes', by way

estimation of the politics involved

oss/failure/degeneration of the Russian Revo

The most telling point against the thesis of

Lost, p. 7). We have briefly discussed how

were dealt with in our consideration of

elections to soviets after October (p. 199).

longer existed as an agency collectively

. Then the effects of the developments and

national oppositions of expelled dissidents (see

mitted to the Comintern and to its inter-

Claudin is a hetter source than Trotsky on

But this intricate task cannot be solved by placing above the proletariat a few well-picke neople....or one person invested with the Doutschor The Prophet Armed pp. 92.2)

Throughout Lenin's and Trotsky's works the Once begun the substitution of Party for class and apparatus for Party undoubtedly und favourable conditions in the Russia of the 1920s Fach sten in the development of the ucracy was premised on the elimination of amme 'the crisis of mankind is reduced to opposition outside the Party but before this was completed 'liquidation and degradation' from on high were introduced into the Party a revolutionary class without such leadership it At the Ninth Congress (April 1920) Yureney rotested at the methods used by the Central

Committee to suppress criticism from the ocratic Centralists and Workers Opposition 'one goes to Christiana, anothe, sent to the Urals a third — to Siberia Maximovsky attacked the 'bureaucratic central ism' of the apparatus: 'It is said that a fish to suffer at the top from the influence of ureaucratic centralism'. Sapronov arqued. vever much you talk about electoral rights, about the dictatorship of the proletariat, about Even the orthodox Trotskyist explanation of the yearning of the Central Committee for the party dictatorship, in fact this leads to the storship of the party bureaucracy.

At the Tenth Congress, in the shadow of Kronstadt, Trotsky attacked the Workers the 'backwardness of Russia' permeate from the object to the subject and lo! Degeneration of Opposition. They have come out with dange. ous stogans. They have made a fetish of demo cratic principles. They have placed the workers' sight to plant representatives shows the Party As if the Party were not entitled to assert its distatorship even if that distatorship temporarily clashed with the passing moods of the workers' democracy/ Trotsky spoke of 'the lutionary historical birthright of the Party 'The Party is obliged to maintain its dictator . regardless of the passing moods of the workers' democracy regardless of the temporary vacillations even in the working class

The dictatorship does not have itself at ress) or the 'degeneration' of the working

broke the links between the revolution and the Lenin attacked the Workers Opposition (which represented the proletarian base of the Party) as 'petit-bourgeois', 'syndicalist' and ution) gives instances of the sudden ceasing of 'anarchist'. The demands of the opposition were very similar to those of the Kronstadt rebellion (Q.E.D.?). The danger was that instead of centring on small areas - 'lack of culture', 'bureaucratism in x department', they and the class nature of the State Criticism at this level raised the same danger to the monopoly of power as the rebels in Kronstadt Bogdanov of the Workers Truth group argued that the revolution had ended 'in a complete defeat for the working class. the bureau cracy, along with the NEPmen had become a new bourgeoisie, depending on the exploits tion of the workers and taking advantage of Unions in the hands of the huseaucracy the orkers were more helpless than ever . The Communist Party after becoming the ruling Party, the Party of the organisers and lution are but giant examples of this method Ir leaders of the state apparatus and of the capitalist based economic life lost its tie and community with the proletariat Lenin's argument went to the heart of the matter 'Marxism teaches us that only the poli tical party of the working class, i.e. the Com-'determination of interests' wrere early features munist Party, is in a position to unite, educate, organise. and direct all sides of the proletarian movement and hence all the working masses. Without this the dictatorship of the roletariat is meaningless."

The Tenth Party Congress agreed to further draconian restrictions on the membership of the Party. Factional rights were abolished and a secret provision gave the Central Committee unlimited disciplinary powers including expulsion from the Party and even from the Central Committee itself (for which a two thirds majority was required). In the aftermath of ch events the proposals for NEP took very little time! Only 20 of the 330 pages of the

The finishing touches had been made on the echanism of bureaucratic rule and Lenin and Trotsky had provided its ideological

There is a certain irony in Trotsky's statement, written in 1939, that 'Stalinism had first to exterminate politically and then physically the leading cadres of Bolshevism in order to hecome that which it is now: an annaratus of the privileged, a brake upon historical progress, an agency of world imperialism

In the same vein Trotsky stated (Revo lution Betrayed p. 279) 'From the first days of

the Soviet regime the counterweight to hurgan cratism was the Party. If the bureaucracy managed the state, still the Party controlled the ureaucracy Keenly vigilant lest inequality transcend the limit of what was necessary, the Party was always in a state of open or disquised ruggle with the bureaucracy. The historic role of Stalin's faction was to destroy this duplition, subjecting the Party to its own officialdown and married the latter in the officialdom of the state (which body considered the Polish government's proposals for pasce?) Thus was

For the first ten years of its existence

Trotsky's opposition was a 'reform' group

explicitly rejecting the idea of the need for a plution in the USSR and the related idea of a new revolutionary international. Trotsky's view was that the danger during the 20s was chiefly from the Bukharin-Bykov roup whose encouragement of rural petit ndustrialisation to this rural development hreatened the resurrection of capitalism through the growth of the kulaks (rich peasants) and the various private trading struc tures that grew up from their production. The Stalinist faction, based on the Party and state resucracies was seen as a vacillating incon sistent centrist group. The main attacks against his centre were that they opened the road for the right. Under the pressure from the left and right Trotsky expected this centre to break up (see the first section of 'The Workers State, Thermidor and Bonapartism'). As late as 1931 rotsky argued (Problems of the Developmen of the USSR) 'The recognition of the present viet State as a workers' state not only signifies that the hoursenisis can conquer ver in no other way than by armed uprisin but also that the proletariat of the USSR has t forfeited the possibility of submitting the presugracy to it is curious phrasing remin cent of 'blaming the working class'?), or reviving the Party again and of mending the me of the dictatorship - without a ne revolution with the methods and on the road Clarifying his definition of socialism further,

and establishing a view he was to hold to, Trotsky explained in 'The Revolution Betrayed': 'The nationalisation of the land, the means of industrial production, transport and exchange, together with the monopoly of foreign trade, constitutes the basis of the Soviei social structure. Through these relations, established by the proletarian revolution, the nature of the Soviet Union as a proletarian state is for us basically defined' (p. 235). The centre of the Trotskyist view of the USSR as a workers' state is exactly the nationalised property. All other questions of analysis are secondary to this if not subsumed into it in the course of argument If one actually considers the necessary course of any proletarian rising the question becomes much clearer. What will be necessary with regard to the apparatus of management, to the police. KGB, and military establishment in the event of revolution? It will have to be smashed Will it be possible for a proletarian revolution to make use of the same methods of decision making as to the needs and priorities of produc tion or will new and democratic organs have to he created? They undoubtedly will. What does this mean? A proletarian revolution in the USSR will have to smash the state annaratus and establish new means of ruling. On the othe hand can the apparatus of the soviet state he used by any group controlling it to exploit the labour of the working people? Is the apparatus well constructed to that end? It can. It is Trotsky moved towards extending his defin tion of the 'political revolution', which he say as necessary once he had left the path of refor ming the state apparatus in 1934, to precisely such a smashing of the existing state. 'The goal to be attained by the overthrow of the bureaucracy is the re-establishment of the rule of the soviets'. There is no longer any idea that the central task is to 'revive the Party' but there is confusion in that Trotsky's next phrase is 'expelling from them the present bureaucracy'
(In Defence of Marxism p. 4). The effect of this sleight of hand is to suggest that soviets still rule but are controlled by the bureaucracy, we are led away again from facing up to the fact that the bureaucracy ruled through a specific state apparatus not through the purey ornamental soviets and through this Trotsky manages to avoid the simple truth that the over throw of the bureaucracy by the proletariat neans smashing the existing state apparatus

Although Trottely's often-quoted pred atio as to the likely outcome of the Second World War open the way for going beyond the 'workers stage' idea he never himself faced up to the fact that it meant reviving the marvist theory of the state as a tool to analyse the bureaucracy In 'The USSR in War' (In ense of Marxism p.10) he accepts that the failure of the proletarian revolution will prove

the arguments of the 'bureaucratic collectivists', "the further decay of monopoly capitalism, its further fusion with the state and the replacement of democracy wherever it still remained by a totalitarian regime. The inability of the proletariat to take into its hands the leadership of society could actually lead under these conditions to the growth of a new exploiting class from the Bonapartist fascist bureaucracy". (p. 18 has a similar view). Trotsky adopts this simple dichotomy workers state/revolution or decay/a new class society as a means to undermine the arguments of the 'bureaucratic collectivists' (principally Rizzi). But his posing of simple choices as in this case and in the latter view that the war could only mean revolution or the restoration of capitalism act only to obscure any real discussion on the nature of the bureaucracy which for him is purely a transitory parasitic growth produced by the backwardness of the Russian economy and the failure of the world's working class. At such a level of generalisation the specific nature of the bureaucracy is a

Trotsky's generalisations stand in the way of more precise considerations of the formations and categories he considers. This applies to his central concept that socialism is nationalised property relations. The concept is totally ahistorical, corporate/state forms of property abound throughout history (Roman Armoury manufacturies; the properties of the medieval church or the 'colonising' military orders eq. Teutonic Knights in East Prussia, Lithuania, Poland; to the present 'mixed economies' with varying 'nationalised' contents.) What distinguishes the various examples are specific social relations and relations of production proper to each.

small question.

Trotsky's emphasis on the legal relations and on the 'transitory' nature of the bureaucratic superstructure of the Soviet Union ignores the totality of its class relations; the lack of self-management of the producers; the system of hierarchy, one man management; the contrast between privilege and piece rate (see Harazti, A Worker in a Workers State. Penguin). It is simply to turn historical materialism on its head to seek an explanation at the level of legal relations or in the functioning of the state superstructure alone.

The limits of Trotsky's critique of the USSR are today being demonstrated by their reappearance in the work of modern 'eurocommunists' where they serve to separate the authors from the bureau-cracy without fundamentally challenging it as anything more than a deviation or 'degeneration' forced by circumstances. Mandel's criticisms of this shool, particularly Ellenstein, in From Stalinism to Eurocommunism, can be applied quite closely to Trotsky himself (see espec. Ch.4. A New Approach to Stalinism.)

Ch.4 A New Approach to Stalmism.)
In order to maintain its position as a revolutionary opponent of the soviet bureaucracy Trotskyism has had to create distance between itself and Trotsky. This is nowhere clearer than in the Theses on Socialist Democracy (which if anything tends too far towards pluralism) of the

USFI, and in Mandel's substitution of the phrase 'society in transition' for 'workers state' (particularly marked in From Stalinism...) This evolution is a symptom of Trotsky's weakness on the problems of Party and class and on Stalinism and the class nature of the USSF.

Footnotes.

- Third International After Lenin p. 19
 "Capitalism structures the entire world economy and it 'operates by its own methods', that is to say by anarchistic methods which constantly undermine its own work, set one country against another, and one branch of industry against another, developing some parts of, the world economy while throwing back the relegoment of others."
- Revolution Betrayed, p.5. "In the conditions of capitalist leadine, back-ward countries are unable to attain that level which the old centres of capitalism have attained. Having themselves arrived in a blind alley, the highly civilised nations block the road to those in the process of civilisation. Russia took the road of protestrain revolution not because her economy was the first to become ripe for a socialist change, but because she could not develop further on a capitalist basis."
- Turtner on a capitalist basis."
 Revolution Betrayed p.11. "Socialisation of the means of production had become a necessary condition for bringing the country out of barbarism."
- Deutscher Prophet Armed. p.96.
 E.H.Carr Foundations of a Planned Economy Vol. 1 p.283.
- Standard in marxist-leninist work but also common in Trotskyists eg. Livio Maitan, Party, Army and Masses in China. NLB.
- 6. Plekhanov. In Doefence of Materialism fittled for the censors On the Question of the development of the Monist View of History! 1892/2. P.B. Strue, Critical Notes on the Problems of the Economic Development of Russia. 1894, Lenin. Development of Capitalism in Russia (Written 1896 published 1899).
- 7. Penguin. The Young Lenin. p.85. 7a. The Spanish Revolution (1931-39).
- Pathfinder 1973. pp.251, 252, 321. Gaston Leval. Collectives in the Spanish Revolution; Sam Dolgoff, The Anarchist Collectives; F.Mintz, Autogestion dans l'Espagne Revolutionnaire.
- Carr, The Interregnum p.23-4, 317
 Carr, FPE vol. 1 p.29.
- 11. Writings of Leon Trotsky 1937-38. Pathfinder. Letter to Wendelin
- Thomas.

 12. Arshinov. History of the Makhnovist
 Movt. Black and Red. Detroit. 1975.
 p.265-275, Makhnovist proclamations
- 13. Arshinov, see above. Voline. The Unknown Revolution. Black and Red. Detroit. 1974. Avrich. Kronstadt 1921, and also The Russian Anarchists.
- 14. Voline. p.473-5.

Libertarian Communist supplements

Hungary



Hungary 1917 — The Hungarian Revolution of 1917 was an event of importance to socialists: it showed that the revolution was possible in Eastern

Russia



Russia 1917 describes and analyses an important moment in the history of the working classes.

It examines the economic background to the revolution and the political situation in Europe as a whole. It tries to understand how and why the Bolshevik party became increasingly unresponsive to the real needs of the working class.

Democracy

Socialism and Democracy — one of the most important questions concerning revolutionaries. We argue that a democratic socialist society must be fought for using democratic means.



Spain

Spain 1936 — a description of the Spanish revolution, the collectives, and how the working class was betrayed by the Stalinists.

All supplements price 10p +post from LCG, 27 Clerkenwell Close, London EC1